1	IN THE SUPREME COURT	OF THE UNITED STATES	
2		x	
3	BILLY JOE REYNOLDS,	:	
4	Petitioner	: No. 10-6549	
5	v.	:	
6	UNITED STATES	:	
7		x	
8	Washington, D.C.		
9	Monday, October 3, 2011		
10			
11	The above-enti	tled matter came on for oral	
12	argument before the Supreme Court of the United States		
13	at 11:06 a.m.		
14	APPEARANCES:		
15	CANDACE CAIN, ESQ., Assistant Federal Public Defender,		
16	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; on behalf of		
17	Petitioner.		
18	MELISSA ARBUS SHERRY, ESQ., 2	Assistant to the Solicitor	
19	General, Department of	f Justice, Washington,	
20	D.C; on behalf of Re	espondent.	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	CONTENTS	
2	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	PAGE
3	CANDACE CAIN, ESQ.	
4	On behalf of the Petitioner	3
5	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	
6	MELISSA ARBUS SHERRY, ESQ.	
7	On behalf of the Respondent	23
8	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF	
9	CANDACE CAIN, ESQ.	
10	On behalf of the Petitioner	52
11		
12		
13	•	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS		
2	(11:06 a.m.)		
3	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We'll hear argument		
4	next in case 10-6549, Reynolds v. United States.		
5	Ms. Cain.		
6	ORAL ARGUMENT OF CANDACE CAIN		
7	ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER		
8	MS. CAIN: Mr. Chief Justice, and may it		
9	please the Court:		
10	Recognizing that certain offenders convicted		
11	before enactment or implementation of SORNA would be		
12	unable to comply with SORNA's initial registration		
13	requirement, Congress included section 16913(d)		
14	delegating to the Attorney General the authority to		
15	determine whether and how to apply SORNA's registration		
16	requirements to those offenders.		
17	Mr. Reynolds is one of those offenders		
18	because he was convicted, sentenced and released from		
19	prison a year before SORNA was enacted. But for a valid		
20	exercise of the Attorney General's authority under		
21	subsection (d), Mr. Reynolds had no obligation to		
22	register SORNA, could not initially register under		
23	SORNA, and therefore was not subject to SORNA's criminal		
24	penalties. Action by the Attorney General was needed to		
25	bring offenders like Mr. Reynolds into the new system,		

- 1 and because those implementing SORNA, in determining
- 2 whether and how SORNA would be applied to pre-enactment
- 3 offenders, would require time and consideration,
- 4 Congress left the Wetterling Act registration law in
- 5 place for 3 years to ensure that all offenders would be
- 6 covered under the old law. And until --
- 7 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Was the -- is the
- 8 Wetterling Act retroactive?
- 9 MS. CAIN: The Wetterling Act, Your Honor,
- 10 was remaining in place for 3 years and had a sort of a
- 11 sunset provision under --
- 12 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: No, no, I know.
- 13 That's going forward. But was the requirement to
- 14 register under the Wetterling Act, did that apply as of
- 15 the enactment date or did that reach back?
- 16 MS. CAIN: Your Honor, actually the
- 17 Wetterling Act was not effective for a year into the
- 18 future.
- 19 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So you think it only
- 20 applied to that year?
- MS. CAIN: No, I'm sorry. The Wetterling
- 22 Act was enacted in 1996.
- 23 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So if the offense
- 24 were committed in 1994, did that person have to register
- 25 under the Wetterling Act?

- 1 MS. CAIN: They had to register, but there
- 2 were no criminal penalties. At that point it was a 1994
- 3 law called Wetterling, and 2 years after under the
- 4 Lychner Act criminal penalties were added.
- 5 Our reading better accords with the text and
- 6 congressional intent --
- 7 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Could you clarify that
- 8 answer? The -- are you admitting that there were no
- 9 criminal enforcement options for the Attorney General
- 10 under the Wetterling Act for acts committed prior to
- 11 1996? Is that what you're saying?
- MS. CAIN: Your Honor, the Wetterling Act as
- 13 it was enacted in 1994 was a registration requirement
- 14 without criminal penalties. In 1996 the Lychner Act was
- 15 enacted amending Wetterling and added a criminal
- 16 penalty, the Federal penalty of one-year punishment for
- 17 failure to register.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: And that included all
- 19 individuals who had -- who had been convicted of sex
- 20 abuse acts before 1996?
- MS. CAIN: I don't know.
- Our reading better accords with the text of
- 23 SORNA and congressional intent, but the government
- 24 reading is simply not reasonable. If SORNA would apply
- 25 to all pre-enactment and pre-implementation offenders on

- 1 day 1, and the Attorney General could then modify in the
- 2 future, which would in fact -- in effect repeal SORNA as
- 3 to some offenders, then you could have a situation where
- 4 someone was convicted of an offense and then have to be
- 5 covered under SORNA, and then later the AG could decide
- 6 that that group was not required to register.
- 7 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Well, maybe -- maybe the
- 8 Attorney General doesn't have that power. But your
- 9 position is that whether this behavior, not registering,
- 10 is criminal or not, is left up to the Attorney
- 11 General -- is left up to the executive. Do we have
- 12 other examples where Congress says, well, we don't know
- 13 whether this should be a criminal offense, so we're
- 14 going to leave it to the Attorney General?
- 15 It's quite different to say the Attorney
- 16 General will implement it in the technical details, but
- 17 to say that whether it's a criminal offense or not is up
- 18 to the Attorney General, is there any other instance
- 19 where that's so?
- MS. CAIN: Your Honor, I'm not aware of any,
- 21 but we don't -- this is not what the Attorney General is
- 22 doing. This is -- SORNA is a civil registration
- 23 requirement and the Attorney General's deciding whether
- 24 someone has to register. In order for a criminal
- 25 indictment to be brought, a person would have to travel

- 1 and then fail to register. So it's really not actually
- 2 deciding whether someone would be guilty of a crime or
- 3 convicted of a crime or exposed to a crime.
- 4 JUSTICE KENNEDY: I -- maybe I just don't
- 5 grasp the core of the case then. I thought this was a
- 6 criminal conviction and that you were arguing that it's
- 7 a criminal conviction because the conduct that's
- 8 prohibited by the statute was conduct that covered this
- 9 class of people by order of the Attorney General under
- 10 the interim regs. Is that wrong?
- 11 MS. CAIN: Your Honor, actually what we are
- 12 seeking is the ability to contest the Attorney General's
- 13 rule. We're saying --
- 14 JUSTICE KENNEDY: I'm asking, isn't this is
- 15 criminal conviction that resulted from the fact that
- 16 your client was within the class of persons covered by
- 17 the statute? The government says they are covered
- 18 anyway. You say they are covered only because the
- 19 Attorney General acted, but then you say it's a criminal
- 20 -- it's a civil provision? I -- I --
- 21 MS. CAIN: Well, Your Honor, it is --
- 22 failure to register and then travel -- I mean travel and
- 23 then fail to register after you are obligated under
- 24 SORNA to register is a crime, yes.
- JUSTICE SCALIA: Well, I -- you know, my

- 1 problem is, that's very strange. I -- I find it very
- 2 strange to -- to leave it up to the Attorney General
- 3 whether something will be a crime or not. It will be a
- 4 crime if the Attorney General says so and it won't be a
- 5 crime if he doesn't. I mean, especially leave it up to
- 6 the Attorney General, for Pete's sake; he's the
- 7 prosecutor. You know, it will be a crime if the
- 8 prosecutor thinks it is and it won't be a crime if the
- 9 prosecutor thinks it isn't. I -- I don't know of any
- 10 parallel and -- and I -- I think it's -- it's sailing
- 11 close to the edge of unconstitutionality; whereas, what
- the other side claims is simply, it's a crime to begin
- 13 with, but the Attorney General can make it not a crime.
- 14 That's sort of like prosecutorial discretion. In -- in
- 15 his -- in his judgment, if it shouldn't be a crime, you
- 16 know -- I have trouble with that, too.
- 17 JUSTICE SCALIA: But it's a lot closer to
- 18 prosecutorial discretion than -- than -- than what
- 19 you're asking us to accept, that something is a crime
- 20 only if the Attorney General says it's a crime. That
- 21 seems to me very strange.
- MS. CAIN: Well, Your Honor, that's really
- 23 what the text says, and our reading -- but --
- 24 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But now we do -- the
- 25 Attorney General has spoken. The first time, you say it

- 1 was ineffective because there was no notice and comment.
- 2 But from -- what is it -- August of 1908, we have a
- 3 rule, a final rule, that did go through notice and
- 4 comment. So are we talking about, is this case simply
- 5 about the period from February 1907 to August 1908, and
- 6 that's -- that's all that's involved in this case, only
- 7 those people? Or are you contesting that after
- 8 August 1908, you still have some kind of claim?
- 9 MS. CAIN: Well, Your Honor, our case does
- 10 not involve the time period after August of 2008.
- 11 JUSTICE GINSBURG: So -- so this whole case
- is about what happens between February '07 and August
- 13 '08, and that's the limit of it.
- MS. CAIN: Right.
- 15 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Because there was no rule
- 16 at all before February '07 and there was a rule August
- 17 '08? So it's just that period this case is about?
- 18 MS. CAIN: Yes. Our client traveled in '07.
- 19 JUSTICE ALITO: It's the period from the
- 20 enactment of SORNA until the adoption of the SMART
- 21 quidelines, right? That's what we're talking about?
- MS. CAIN: Well, Your Honor, if the SMART
- 23 guidelines are deemed valid, yes. That was -- in 2008.
- 24 Our client traveled in 2007. And so the Attorney
- 25 General's interim rule is the rule that would subject

- 1 him to criminal liability.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Excuse me. Let me go
- 3 back to that question, counselor -- to that answer.
- 4 Let's assume we accepted the Solicitor General's
- 5 understanding of the rule, that it was illegal to
- 6 travel -- that you had to be -- had to register from the
- 7 start of SORNA. What challenge do you have left either
- 8 to the interim rule in 2007 or to the final rules in
- 9 2008? What -- what challenge could you conceivably
- 10 make?
- MS. CAIN: Your Honor, if the statute
- 12 applies from Day 1 we would still contest the interim
- 13 rule for -- the Attorney General took action but did not
- 14 exclude our client. The Attorney General did what he
- 15 was authorized to do --
- 16 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: What would be the basis
- 17 of that challenge?
- MS. CAIN: Pardon me?
- 19 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: What would have
- 20 obligated him to take your client out of SORNA?
- 21 MS. CAIN: The exercise of his discretion to
- 22 not take him out --
- 23 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Could you -- could you
- 24 tell me why?
- MS. CAIN: Because --

- 1 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: What would be an abuse
- of his discretion if he didn't take your client out?
- 3 MS. CAIN: Because he had exercised his
- 4 discretion under subsection (d) and decided not to
- 5 exclude our client from the --
- 6 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: But we're in a circular
- 7 argument.
- 8 MS. CAIN: Statute.
- 9 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: What would have
- 10 commanded him to take your client out?
- 11 MS. CAIN: It would be his discretion.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: You -- you would have to
- 13 bring some sort of suit that said he abused his
- 14 discretion. On what basis would he have -- what would
- 15 be your claim of abuse other than, I really want my
- 16 client out?
- 17 MS. CAIN: Well, that he would have
- 18 standing. That's what we're trying to -- we're trying
- 19 to get standing to contest the interim rule.
- 20 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: But what impact would
- 21 the interim rules have had on you?
- MS. CAIN: If the statute applied from Day 1
- 23 without the interim rule, we still would -- that is what
- 24 the standing issue is about. We're saying that the
- 25 interim rule is the only rule that gave -- gave the

- 1 Government the ability to include Mr. Reynolds in the
- 2 prosecution.
- 3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: You have a notice --
- 4 notice and comment claim, right?
- 5 MS. CAIN: Yes. Yes, Your Honor.
- 6 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But what -- but what
- 7 you're challenging is interim rule, because there was no
- 8 notice and comment. So you would have had no challenge,
- 9 not from the date of SORNA's enactment, but from the
- 10 date of the rule that you're challenging -- and that
- 11 rule was February '07. Your challenge is to invalidity
- 12 of the interim rule, right?
- MS. CAIN: That's right.
- 14 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Okay. So -- but before
- 15 there was an interim rule, you would have no such
- 16 challenge.
- MS. CAIN: No, but the SORNA would not apply
- 18 to Mr. Reynolds before then.
- 19 JUSTICE GINSBURG: You might have some other
- 20 case, but this case is about a challenge to a rule as
- 21 invalid. That's -- that's -- as I understand it, so
- that had to be a rule in order for you to make the
- 23 challenge.
- MS. CAIN: I'm sorry, I missed the last
- 25 part.

- 1 JUSTICE GINSBURG: You are challenging the
- 2 -- the Attorney General's first rule as invalid, the
- 3 February '07 rule. You say --
- 4 MS. CAIN: That's right.
- 5 JUSTICE GINSBURG: You say it's invalid
- 6 because there was no notice and comment. You have no
- 7 challenge -- your challenge doesn't reach before that,
- 8 because there was no rule before that. So that you can
- 9 -- the earliest point is when the rule was adopted,
- 10 you're saying the rule was invalid. So that's why I
- 11 said the brackets are from when there was an allegedly
- 12 invalid rule, which was in February '07, until when
- there's a valid rule, which is in August of '08.
- MS. CAIN: That's right, Your Honor. I mean
- 15 --
- 16 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: No, that's not. No.
- 17 Your argument as I understand it is there was no notice
- 18 and comment when he issued the interim rule.
- 19 MS. CAIN: Right.
- 20 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: If there had been
- 21 notice and comment, you would have jumped in with
- 22 comments that would have convinced the Attorney General
- 23 not to apply the rule to your client.
- MS. CAIN: That's right.
- 25 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Okay.

- 1 JUSTICE SCALIA: And your argument is
- 2 further that without the rule, SORNA doesn't exist,
- 3 right?
- 4 MS. CAIN: For our client.
- 5 JUSTICE SCALIA: Right.
- 6 MS. CAIN: He is unable to comply with the
- 7 initial registration provision under (b) because he was
- 8 released from prison a year before SORNA was enacted, so
- 9 he could not meet either one of the descriptions of
- 10 initial registration.
- JUSTICE BREYER: That doesn't mean -- that
- doesn't mean SORNA doesn't apply, it means 2250 didn't
- 13 apply.
- MS. CAIN: That's right.
- 15 JUSTICE BREYER: Is it that right.
- MS. CAIN: Well --
- 17 JUSTICE BREYER: It might be a metaphysical,
- 18 but it may be that Congress intended the statute to
- 19 apply to people like your client, but the question is
- 20 when the initial registration has to take place, and I
- 21 took you as saying until the Attorney General acts, we
- 22 don't know, so 2250 doesn't -- doesn't criminalize a
- 23 failure until he can know when he's supposed to
- 24 register.
- MS. CAIN: Register under SORNA, that's

- 1 right.
- JUSTICE BREYER: That's right. Okay.
- 3 MS. CAIN: The problem is here that the
- 4 prosecution -- the Attorney General's office is
- 5 substituting a state registration for the initial
- 6 registration under SORNA, and that's just not what the
- 7 text says.
- 8 JUSTICE KAGAN: Ms. Cain, why do you think
- 9 Congress would have written the text in this way? You
- 10 said it was very complicated and Congress was worried
- 11 about different problems, the way different
- 12 registrations overhappened on each other but exactly
- 13 what was so complicated? Why couldn't Congress just
- 14 have applied the statute to people in Mr. Reynold's
- 15 situation itself?
- 16 MS. CAIN: Well, even the government agrees
- in their brief that there are complications.
- 18 JUSTICE KAGAN: I was going to ask the
- 19 government the same question. What are the
- 20 complications that Congress was so worried about?
- 21 MS. CAIN: Some sex offenders, you know,
- 22 from the various states, there were state laws that were
- 23 varied amongst each other, and there was a federal
- 24 Wetterling Act that had its own periods of registration
- 25 and different requirements. And I think that, um, one

- 1 of the permutations, some of them are that some sex
- 2 offenders never had to register in some states; some had
- 3 been convicted before and had served out their time and
- 4 no longer had to register; and some were released from
- 5 prison, you know, before the enactment or implementation
- 6 of SORNA. And an example of a permutation that
- 7 was going to have some cloth for consideration is the
- 8 one that's sort of an example in a different context, in
- 9 the federal register and in the government's brief which
- 10 is that certain people who had served their time and
- 11 were completely out of the system, if they got re-
- 12 arrested for a misdemeanor, the Attorney General decided
- 13 that those individuals did not have to register for a
- 14 state to be deemed substantially implemented with
- 15 respect to SORNA. And so that's an example of a type of
- 16 decision, a complication that the Attorney General was
- 17 particularly well-suited to deciding in making that
- 18 determination.
- 19 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Arrested for a
- 20 misdemeanor to do what?
- 21 MS. CAIN: Any arrest for a misdemeanor that
- 22 would bring a previous offender back in the system, if
- 23 that person was just convicted of a misdemeanor, they
- 24 would not -- the state would not have to re-register
- 25 them in order to be deemed substantially compliant with

- 1 SORNA and get the firm grant money.
- 2 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I thought Justice
- 3 Kagan's question was, what would have stopped Congress
- 4 from just saying: You have to register on the day of
- 5 passage. There was nothing to stop Congress from doing
- 6 that, correct?
- 7 MS. CAIN: They could have done that, but
- 8 they were concerned about how you get the older
- 9 conviction, the older pre-enactment people into the new
- 10 system.
- 11 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: That's your reason for
- 12 why they didn't do that. They didn't make it automatic,
- 13 correct? That's your argument?
- MS. CAIN: Right. They wanted to have a new
- 15 registration, a new system that would start from a
- 16 certain point that would bring in new requirements. And
- 17 the problem is how to get the people with the older
- 18 convictions and the older registrations into the system.
- 19 And that would be done with initial registration. But
- 20 Mr. Reynolds --
- JUSTICE KAGAN: Well, why is -- Why is it
- 22 easier for the Attorney General to do that by regulation
- 23 than for Congress simply to do it by the statute itself?
- 24 What did they expect to happen in the regulatory process
- 25 that would solve these problems for them?

- 1 MS. CAIN: Well, I think that it's more
- 2 flexible to have a regulation, and takes perhaps less
- 3 time than legislation to think of all the different
- 4 permutations. They don't know every state's laws and
- 5 every state's capabilities. And so it was more
- 6 flexible. And they could respond more quickly to
- 7 changes.
- 8 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Well, it seems-- Is this
- 9 case -- What would compliance entail other than simply
- 10 telling the Missouri authority that he had to register,
- 11 was registered in Missouri, telling Missouri authority
- 12 that he was moving to another state. That's all he had
- 13 to do, right, to comply?
- MS. CAIN: Comply with Missouri's law? The
- 15 state law?
- 16 JUSTICE GINSBURG: To comply with the SORNA
- 17 requirement, that he would have to tell the Missouri
- 18 authority that he was moving to another state. And then
- 19 Missouri would have an obligation to tell that other
- 20 state he's there.
- 21 MS. CAIN: Well, Your Honor, that's-- Your
- 22 question assumes that state registration would suffice
- 23 for SORNA. And respectfully, the--SORNA was not enacted
- 24 until --
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: But I'm talking about

- 1 SORNA has been enacted, and now he's moving after SORNA
- 2 is enacted, right?
- MS. CAIN: Right. Well that's --
- 4 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Okay. So SORNA is on the
- 5 books. He's registered in Missouri. He's leaving the
- 6 state to comply with SORNA. What does he have to do
- 7 other than tell the original state: I'm moving to
- 8 another state?
- 9 MS. CAIN: Well, he would have to comply
- 10 with the requirements of initial registration under
- 11 SORNA. Those contain more requirements than under the
- 12 Missouri --
- 13 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Well, he can't comply
- 14 with the initial registration because he committed a
- 15 crime even before SORNA was enacted.
- MS. CAIN: I'm just --
- 17 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But now, what would he
- 18 have to do to be in compliance --
- 19 MS. CAIN: With Missouri law, with state
- 20 law, would be to comply with Missouri law tell Missouri
- 21 he is leaving and then go to Pennsylvania and comply
- 22 with Pennsylvania law, perhaps. And that's also not a
- 23 SORNA registration; that's a registration under state
- 24 law.
- We know from Carr that SORNA is-- doesn't

- 1 create an obligation until the statute's effective date.
- 2 And the statute's effective date is after a valid
- 3 Attorney General regulation for purposes of people like
- 4 Mr. Reynolds.
- 5 JUSTICE KAGAN: Could you tell me this, Ms.
- 6 Cain. You may have said this, and I may just have
- 7 missed it. But under the new regulations, a man who's
- 8 in the position of your client and who cannot initially
- 9 register under (b), b just doesn't fit his
- 10 circumstances, does he now have to initially register
- 11 again, or does his initial registration stick and he
- just has to update it when he moves?
- MS. CAIN: The initial registration under
- 14 SORNA could be updated. The state registration that he
- 15 may have already done in the past is not a SORNA
- 16 registration. He would have to register initially
- 17 again, and that is a new registration. And that would
- 18 be what Congress intended, because their goal was to not
- 19 have a patchwork of regulations and rules. So it would
- 20 be a new registration, but an update of a SORNA
- 21 registration is certainly possible, yes.
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: Under the current
- 23 regulation, under the 19 -- I mean the '08 regulations,
- 24 wouldn't be enough to comply for somebody in his
- 25 situation, to comply simply by telling his parole

- 1 officer: I'm moving to the other state, under the
- 2 regulation that says how this is implemented?
- 3 MS. CAIN: Actually, Your Honor, no. We
- 4 actually don't know the answer to that question, because
- 5 the Attorney General has not issued regulations
- 6 instructing offenders what to do. They have simply
- 7 issued guidelines telling the states what they can do to
- 8 substantially implement SORNA. So we don't really know
- 9 the answer to that question.
- The point is that the requirement to
- 11 initially register under SORNA was not effective until
- 12 the Attorney General -- could not be effective until the
- 13 Attorney General said so. And that's what the statute
- 14 says under (d). And that if you look at how the
- 15 government is reading the statue, you apply it from day
- 16 one, but yet they have the ability to modify SORNA,
- 17 which in effect means to repeal SORNA's effect as to
- 18 someone in the future. That also would cause a lot of
- 19 complications, especially in the context I mentioned
- 20 where someone with a misdemeanor, you know, may be part
- 21 of the group that doesn't have to register in the
- 22 future, but they had to at some point, and--
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Let's -- Is there
- 24 anything -- If I understand the Solicitor General's
- 25 position, all your client had to do after SORNA was

- 1 passed was after a reasonable amount of time, or upon
- 2 his travel, to tell Missouri, which was his state of
- 3 conviction, that he was moving. Correct?
- 4 MS. CAIN: If you-- They say that he was not
- 5 part of the people that could register within a normal,
- 6 I mean, a reasonable amount of time because of the state
- 7 registration.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Right.
- 9 MS. CAIN: But assuming that that wasn't the
- 10 case, assuming he was, you know, just--
- 11 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: No, I'm not assuming
- 12 that.
- MS. CAIN: Okay.
- 14 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Would he have been in
- 15 compliance with SORNA under the final rules today, the
- 16 interim rules when they were passed, or on the date that
- 17 he left if he had when he traveled, or a reasonable time
- 18 thereafter, told his state of conviction that he had
- 19 moved? Would that have been enough?
- MS. CAIN: No, Your Honor.
- 21 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: What does he have to do
- in addition to that under the interim or final rules?
- 23 MS. CAIN: We don't know. Because, again,
- 24 the Attorney General has not issued regulations or
- 25 guidelines telling offenders what to do. They have only

- 1 issued guidelines telling jurisdictions how they can
- 2 substantially implement SORNA. So it's not as though --
- 3 He cannot register under SORNA until the Attorney
- 4 General specifies that he --
- 5 JUSTICE GINSBURG: That was the answer that
- 6 you gave to my question, which was the same thing: Why
- 7 isn't it sufficient now for him simply to tell his
- 8 parole officer he's moving.
- 9 MS. CAIN: Oh, sorry. Yes. It would not be
- 10 sufficient. I mean, it -- he has to initially register
- 11 to register under SORNA. And he can't do that until the
- 12 Attorney General issued a valid rule, which -- we are
- 13 contesting that the 2007 rule is not valid. We're
- 14 saying that our client has standing to make that
- 15 challenge; we were denied the ability to do that below.
- 16 And I would like to reserve my time if there's no
- 17 further questions.
- 18 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, counsel.
- 19 Ms. Sherry.
- 20 ORAL ARGUMENT OF MELISSA ARBUS SHERRY
- 21 ON BEHALF OF THE UNITED STATES
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: Mr. Chief Justice, and
- 23 may it please the Court:
- 24 If I could start by answering your question,
- 25 Your Honor, about the Wetterling Act, it was not

- 1 retroactive. It did not apply to pre-enactment conduct.
- 2 It defined a sex offender, unlike SORNA, as somebody who
- 3 is convicted of a sex offense, and in quidelines issued
- 4 after Wetterling and after several subsequent amendments
- 5 to the Wetterling Act, the Attorney General interpreted
- 6 it as only requiring States to register offenders that
- 7 are convicted not only post-enactment, but
- 8 post-implementation by the State. And one such cite
- 9 is --
- 10 JUSTICE SCALIA: Post what?
- 11 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: Post-implementation by
- 12 the States. And so 61 Federal Register cite 15112 is
- 13 just one example of that type of regulation. And so
- 14 when Congress enacted SORNA, it switched from "is
- 15 convicted" to "was convicted" in order to include
- 16 pre-enactment offenders.
- 17 Justice Kagan, to get to your question about
- 18 why is it all so complicated, our answer is that it
- 19 really is not. There is no reason why it couldn't have
- 20 applied on day 1 to all pre-enactment and
- 21 pre-implementation offenders. And to start off, when
- 22 you look about all pre-enactment and
- 23 pre-implementations, this is an incredibly large class.
- 24 There is existing sex offenders on day 1 and all
- 25 existing sex offenders from many months and years going

- 1 forward while the States proceed towards implementation.
- 2 JUSTICE BREYER: So why --
- 3 JUSTICE KAGAN: But then as I indicated.
- 4 Why would Congress have given you the authority to
- 5 exempt people? It seems to me that the -- the burden is
- 6 on you in the exact same way it is on Ms. Cain.
- 7 MS. SHERRY: In our view, what subsection
- 8 (d) was, essentially, was a safety valve. It wasn't
- 9 something that Congress thought the Attorney General was
- 10 going to need to use, but it was something that was
- 11 there for the Attorney General should problems arise in
- 12 the course of implementation.
- 13 JUSTICE ALITO: What would happen in -- in
- 14 this situation: Someone is convicted of a sex offense
- 15 before SORNA is enacted; the shortly after the statute
- 16 is enacted the person moves to a new State, does not
- 17 register; then after that the Attorney General
- 18 exercising the authority that you say he has under --
- 19 exercising -- excuse me, exercising the authority under
- 20 subsection (d), determines that SORNA shouldn't apply to
- 21 people who were convicted of offenses before its
- 22 enactment? Would that person have committed a criminal
- 23 offense?
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: I think at the -- at the
- 25 time he acted, yes. I suppose the Attorney General

- 1 could decide whether or not he is going to apply his
- 2 regulation prospectively or retrospectively. But I
- 3 think the important point is the same result is reached
- 4 under Petitioner's view.
- 5 In Petitioner's view, the Attorney General
- 6 has full and complete control of the light switch.
- 7 Congress didn't do anything, simply left it for the
- 8 Attorney General to simply turn the lights on. We don't
- 9 think that's right for a number of different reasons,
- 10 one of which is the way Congress that delegated
- 11 authority to Attorney General in subsection (d). If --
- 12 JUSTICE ALITO: Well, if Congress wasn't
- 13 sure whether it wanted -- whether it was appropriate to
- 14 apply SORNA retroactively, and -- I just -- and
- 15 therefore was willing to leave that to the Attorney
- 16 General, then I don't understand why it would have made
- 17 the Act applicable immediately upon enactment --
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: Our --
- 19 JUSTICE ALITO: -- pending a determination
- 20 by the Attorney General.
- 21 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: Our understanding is that
- 22 Congress did know that it wanted to include as a general
- 23 matter all pre-enactment -- and again, not pre-enactment
- 24 but pre-implementation offenders as -- offenders as
- 25 well, and I think we know that because when you look to

- 1 the provisions that actually speak to what a sex
- 2 offender was required to do under the Act -- and there
- 3 are six such provisions -- they all start the same way;
- 4 they say that the sex offender shall do something. And
- 5 it defines the sex offender as somebody who was
- 6 convicted.
- 7 When you look at all six of those provisions
- 8 on their face, they apply to all sex offenders so
- 9 defined without any qualification. And Petitioner's
- 10 view is that despite that clear language, despite the
- 11 lack of any qualification within those provisions, by
- 12 virtue of subsection (d) what Congress is really saying
- is that nobody has to register until the Attorney
- 14 General says otherwise.
- JUSTICE BREYER: Well, so --
- 16 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So how do they know
- 17 where to register? Do you agree with your adversary
- 18 that -- that they have to register under SORNA?
- 19 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: No, they don't have to
- 20 register under SORNA.
- 21 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: So how were they
- 22 supposed to know when or how they would register until
- 23 the Attorney General acted?
- 24 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: So, if I could break it
- 25 up into a few classes. Again, we are talking about

- 1 pretty much -- actually we are talking about everybody
- 2 on day 1. And for a number of pre-enactment and
- 3 pre-implementation offenders, they are still going to be
- 4 in prison on the day that SORNA was enacted.
- 5 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I'm not talking about
- 6 those people.
- 7 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: Okay.
- 8 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: Not the people who can
- 9 comply with (b).
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: Okay.
- JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I'm talking about the
- 12 people --
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: The people in the second
- 14 group I was going to talk about are offenders like
- 15 Reynolds, who have already registered before SORNA was
- 16 enacted. They are already initially registered. It's
- 17 the very same State registry system that's created --
- 18 that's SORNA. There is no creation of any SORNA
- 19 registry and the statute itself doesn't talk about a
- 20 SORNA compliant registry. To the contrary, it defines a
- 21 sex offender registry in 16911, subsection 9. It's on
- 22 page 10A of our brief. It defines a sex offender
- 23 registry as a registry of sex offenders maintained by a
- 24 jurisdiction.
- So these are the same registries that have

- 1 been in existence in all 50 States for the last decade.
- 2 So offenders like Reynolds don't have to do anything
- 3 under (b); (b) simply doesn't apply to them. They do
- 4 however as I pointed out have to comply with the other
- 5 provisions. They do have to do what (c) requires, which
- 6 is when Reynolds moved from Missouri to Pennsylvania, he
- 7 had to tell somebody. That is what (c) requires; it's
- 8 what he was required to do even before SORNA was
- 9 enacted; and what Congress did with respect to the
- 10 subset of sex offenders that haven't already registered
- 11 before SORNA but that need to get on the registry --
- 12 afterwards, because, for example, their sex offense
- 13 wasn't covered before SORNA.
- 14 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So your -- your
- 15 argument is that requirements in the heading for 42
- 16 U.S.C. 16913, Registration Requirements For Sex
- 17 Offenders, means something different than requirements
- in subsection (d), which the Attorney General can issue
- 19 rules about, because you are saying although there is
- 20 the requirement that they register and comply with (c)
- 21 and all those other things, when it says that the
- 22 Attorney General can issue regulations specifying the
- 23 applicability of the requirements of this subchapter,
- 24 that only meant the administration -- you know,
- 25 provisions, not the general requirement that you

- 1 register and keep current and all that?
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: No, I don't think that
- 3 that is what we are saying. What we view (d) is,
- 4 essentially, is a safety valve. It does give the
- 5 Attorney General that authority with respect to
- 6 requirements, going but going forward Congress has set
- 7 the baseline; Congress has set the default --
- 8 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: It's a safety valve
- 9 to release what?
- 10 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: To release sex offenders
- 11 if needed to -- to perhaps suspend certain registration
- 12 requirements. And let me give a couple of examples.
- 13 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: You are talking
- 14 about sort of in the weeds, the little details, not the
- 15 underlying requirement of registration, right?
- 16 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: No, I think it -- I think
- 17 arguably it could be both. Again I don't think this is
- 18 something that Congress thought the Attorney General was
- 19 necessarily going to have to exercise, and in fact the
- 20 Attorney General has not done so.
- 21 JUSTICE KAGAN: But does that mean, Ms.
- 22 Sherry, that -- that the Attorney General could if he
- 23 wanted to, for whatever reason, could exempt all
- 24 pre-enactment offenders from SORNA?
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: I think as a theoretical

- 1 matter, on its face, the delegation of authority in (d)
- 2 is -- is quite broad and plenary. But I --
- JUSTICE KAGAN: It would allow that. So
- 4 when you say it gave the Attorney General the ability to
- 5 confirm or modify the requirement in section (a), you
- 6 mean he could if he wanted to exempt all pre-enactment
- 7 offenders?
- 8 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: Again, I say in theory
- 9 because I think like all delegations of authority, the
- 10 Attorney General is certainly limited to acting in
- 11 furtherance of the purpose of Congress, and here we know
- 12 the --
- 13 JUSTICE SCALIA: We had a case involving the
- 14 meaning of modify, and it doesn't -- doesn't mean
- 15 repeal. So he presumably couldn't suspend the whole
- 16 thing.
- 17 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: I -- I do know what
- 18 case you are talking about and I have read it, and
- 19 that's certainly true.
- 20 JUSTICE KAGAN: To confirm --
- 21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: You want to share it
- 22 with the rest of us?
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: I'm not saying I
- 24 definitely remember the name. I think it was MCI, but I
- 25 -- I do know the case you are talking about. I mean

- 1 here the word is specify as opposed to modify, and I
- 2 guess there could be an argument --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: It authorized the FCC to
- 4 modify the requirement to post rates, and the FCC simply
- 5 eliminated the requirement to post rates, and we said
- 6 that that was no good.
- 7 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: And -- and I -- I suppose
- 8 a similar argument could be made with respect to
- 9 specify. I don't think it necessarily has to be --
- 10 JUSTICE BREYER: Leaving the language aside,
- 11 I would like to go back to what Justice Sotomayor was
- 12 asking. We are talking, it seems to me, about section
- 13 2250. He was a convicted of violating criminally that
- 14 section. So I have no problem about the statute
- 15 applying to all these people; it's a question of how it
- 16 applies.
- 17 Imagine with me that we have an individual
- 18 who was convicted a year ago, and sentenced to a 5-year
- 19 term. Does the statute apply to him?
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: He was convicted a year
- 21 ago?
- JUSTICE BREYER: Yes, correct.
- 23 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: -- of a sex offense?
- JUSTICE BREYER: Yes, correct.
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: In our view the statute

- 1 does apply.
- 2 JUSTICE BREYER: Of course it does. Of
- 3 course it does.
- 4 Now he hasn't registered yet. He is in jail
- 5 for 4 more years. So has he violated 2250 so far?
- 6 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: He has not.
- JUSTICE BREYER: No? Thank you.
- 8 So a person who has recently -- recently
- 9 committed the crime, is in prison, is under an
- 10 obligation to register, is yet not in violation because
- 11 of the time for initially registration -- registering --
- 12 has not yet expired. Now let's go back to a person who
- is far less certain how it applies. He committed the
- 14 crime 10 or 15 years ago. He has long since been
- 15 released from prison. There are, as you point out,
- 16 several categories. One is a person who has to -- who
- 17 should under Michigan State law register, but he didn't.
- 18 Another is a person who did, and moved. You know, there
- 19 are several categories. Now, is he in violation of
- 20 2250? Your point is he is immediately, even though it
- 21 was much less clear that it applied to him, much less
- 22 clear. And much less clear -- in fact, it doesn't say
- 23 when he is supposed to register, but still, 2250 applies
- 24 to him.
- I just wonder how that could be,

- 1 particularly when we have three sentences, indeed, which
- 2 seem to me to tell the Attorney General, certainly,
- 3 please deal with that kind of a case.
- 4 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: If I could start with
- 5 2250 and then go back to subsection (d), that is not our
- 6 position. 2250 is the criminal provision. What we were
- 7 actually looking at here are the registered --
- 8 JUSTICE BREYER: I thought he was convicted
- 9 of a crime. I thought he was convicted of a crime under
- 10 2250. That's why I asked the question. And his lawyer
- 11 said in response to my question that one of the things
- 12 she wants to argue is that he cannot be convicted under
- 13 2250 until he is under a legal obligation to register,
- 14 and that initial registration is not a legal obligation
- 15 until the Attorney General makes his rules. I thought
- 16 that was the argument.
- 17 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: Let me make an important
- 18 distinction. We're actually talking about Reynolds
- 19 here. You are right, but Reynolds was not convicted and
- 20 was not prosecuted for failing to comply with the
- 21 initial registration requirements in subsection (b); he
- 22 was convicted and prosecuted for failing to comply with
- 23 the timing requirements in subsection (c), which are
- 24 point clear as applied to offenders like Reynolds, who
- 25 have already registered or already in the system.

- 1 What he did was he traveled --
- JUSTICE BREYER: That says -- subsection (c)
- 3 says he has to -- not later than 90 days --
- 4 JUSTICE SCALIA: Where is this? Do you want
- 5 to tell us where it is?
- 6 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: I'm sorry. This is on
- 7 12(a) of the summary --
- 8 JUSTICE SCALIA: It's very helpful to know
- 9 what you're talking about.
- 10 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: Absolutely.
- 11 JUSTICE KAGAN: But you're suggesting, Ms.
- 12 Sherry, that (b) and (c) have nothing to do with each
- other, and in fact, one can read (a); (b), and (c) as
- 14 all integrally linked and referring only to
- 15 postenactment offenders, so (a) is the umbrella
- 16 provision; it says "a sex offender shall register and
- 17 keep the registration current." (B) says how you shall
- 18 register initially, and (c) says how you shall keep that
- 19 registration current. So all three of these refer only
- 20 to postenactment offenders. And then (d) comes along
- 21 and says, by the way, the Attorney General can apply all
- of this to pre-enactment offenders as well, and can
- 23 specify how to do that.
- 24 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: Again, I don't think
- 25 that's right. And if it helps, I'd like to walk through

- 1 the different provisions. The one thing I would say on
- 2 the outset, however, is when you say that, when you read
- 3 those sections, you can read them as applying to only
- 4 postenactment offenders, I don't think that's right,
- 5 especially because of subsection (b), because on the day
- 6 that SORNA was enacted, every single person in prison at
- 7 that time was be definition a pre-enactment offender,
- 8 and so on its face when you read subsection (b), it
- 9 quite easily applies to quite a number of pre-enactment
- 10 offenders.
- 11 And the other point I would make along those
- 12 lines is that fact subsection (b) just doesn't talk
- 13 about pre-enactment, it talks about pre-implementation
- 14 offenders. So offenders that were convicted after
- 15 SORNA's enactment but before SORNA was implemented,
- 16 again quite easily fit not only within subsection (b)
- 17 but within all the other subsections as well. And with
- 18 respect to the interrelationship between them, I think
- 19 subsection (a) really identifies the jurisdiction in
- 20 which a defendant needs to register.
- 21 So the first instance the jurisdictions in
- 22 which an offender both needs to register and to keep the
- 23 information current. Subsection (b) really serves a
- 24 limited purpose. It's an intake process. It's getting
- 25 an offender into the system. For offenders like

- 1 Reynolds who are already in the very same system, there
- 2 is nothing to be done. (B) simply doesn't apply to
- 3 them. (B) is applied to people who are not already in
- 4 that system, and for those that can comply with the
- 5 timing, it gets them in before their release to the
- 6 community. But the inability to comply with subsection
- 7 (b) for the small set of offenders that cannot comply
- 8 were with the timing requirements, it doesn't immunize
- 9 them from complying with all the other registries --
- 10 JUSTICE BREYER: In other words, you were
- 11 reading (c) as saying, to go back to my example, the
- 12 person who was convicted last year and has four more
- 13 years to do his initial registration -- nonetheless, if
- 14 he changes his name, if he stops being a student while
- in prison, he has to register tomorrow or the day after.
- 16 I would say if that's your reading of those two
- 17 sections, it's going to confuse everybody who is in
- 18 prison, as it did confuse me.
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: That is not my reading of
- 20 the --
- 21 JUSTICE BREYER: Alright, then. Then I take
- 22 it your reading is he does not have to fulfill (c) until
- 23 after he has to initially register, and so we're back to
- 24 the question of why you treat somebody who committed the
- 25 crime long ago with less clarity -- with less time to

- 1 initially register, with more confusion from one
- 2 jurisdiction to another than you would treat a person
- 3 who was convicted last year, is still in jail, and has
- 4 four more years to register. That's why I read (d) as
- 5 trying to sort that kind of thing out.
- 6 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: Two points on that.
- 7 Number one, for offenders like Reynolds that are already
- 8 registered, there's nothing more to be done as far as
- 9 registration goes. All that he needs to do is to keep
- 10 the information current and to keep it updated. The
- 11 other point I would make, since we're talking about
- 12 2250, Congress provided other protections for offenders
- 13 that were unable to comply with the timing requirements,
- 14 number one -- it provided impossibility affirmative
- 15 defense in 2250(b), and the other thing that Congress
- 16 did is it required that any failure to register in order
- 17 to be subject to prevailing sanctions, that it be a
- 18 knowing failure to register. In other words, that the
- 19 offender know he has a registration requirement and know
- 20 that he is not complying with that requirement. So the
- 21 idea that there are some hypothetical or maybe even not
- 22 so hypothetical sex offenders out there who can't comply
- 23 with the precise timing in (b) and will -- have no idea
- 24 what they are required to do, they are not going to be
- 25 criminally liable under 2250 because there is an

- 1 impossibility defense. And to the extent they don't
- 2 know that they have a registrational requirement,
- 3 they're also not going to be criminally liable under
- 4 2250.
- 5 And so --
- 6 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Why isn't part of
- 7 your answer to Justice Breyer's question that the one
- 8 person who doesn't have to register for four years is in
- 9 prison already, so presumably, he doesn't present the
- 10 same type of threat that led to the enactment of these
- 11 registration laws in the first place.
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: That's absolutely right.
- 13 The reason -- the release from prison is the trigger and
- 14 the concern and the reason we have registration is for
- 15 periods of time where these offenders are released into
- 16 the community. And that's why the timing requirement in
- 17 (b) is there. The notion is that before offenders are
- 18 released into the community, we want to get them on the
- 19 registry rolls, we want to be able to track them from
- 20 the day that they're released.
- 21 JUSTICE BREYER: Your view is that they have
- 22 to register initially when?
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: If they have not?
- JUSTICE BREYER: No, no, I'm saying -- take
- 25 my example. The person is in Michigan. Michigan does

- 1 have a sex registration thing, but he never actually
- 2 did, so now the Federal act comes in now when is he
- 3 supposed to register.
- 4 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: He is to register within
- 5 a reasonable time.
- 6 JUSTICE BREYER: Oh, reasonable time and
- 7 what is a reasonable time.
- 8 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: Given the rest of the
- 9 requirements something probably along the lines of give
- 10 or take three business days.
- 11 JUSTICE BREYER: Three business days he's
- 12 supposed to go out and do that and if he doesn't do that
- 13 he has committed a federal crime which makes no mention
- of it, no mention at all, and he's just supposed to
- 15 quess that that's three business days because he's a
- 16 lawyer, is that why?
- 17 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: No, actually it's not
- 18 unique with respect to the statute, it's quite common
- 19 for status offenses, and let me try to give one example.
- 20 One of the statutes that the Court looked at fairly
- 21 recently 922 g 9 makes it unlawful to possess a fire arm
- 22 after having a conviction for a misdemeanor crime of
- 23 domestic violence. That statute applied in 1996 and
- 24 applies to everybody convicted of a domestic violence
- 25 offense. So if an individual had domestic violence

- 1 conviction in 1990 and had a fire arm in his possession
- 2 forever the last 20 years when the statute passed in
- 3 1996 he was in violation of the statute. Of course he
- 4 couldn't be prosecuted unless he was given some
- 5 reasonable time to get rid of the fire arm. But there
- 6 is nothing years ago with respect to that. And again,
- 7 the criminal provision here 2250 provides additional
- 8 protections it has an affirmative defers for
- 9 impossibility and it requires that there be knowledge.
- 10 So for an offender that knows he is required to register
- 11 he is given a reasonable amount of time to come into
- 12 compliance with that registration requirement. Reynolds
- in particular is an example of what Congress was trying
- 14 to get at. Reynolds knew he was required to tell
- 15 somebody when he moved from Missouri to Pennsylvania.
- 16 He knew that because he signed registration forms in
- 17 Missouri telling him as much. And those are in the
- 18 joint appendix after pages 16.
- 19 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Those were under Missouri
- 20 law not under federal statute.
- 21 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: They were -- they were
- 22 under Missouri law, but the important point for SORNA
- 23 purposes is that he knew he had a registration
- 24 requirement. He doesn't have to know what law it arises
- 25 under. And again, the sex offender registries that

- 1 pre-existed SORNA are the exact same sex offender
- 2 registries that SORNA is using.
- 3 SORNA was enacted in 2006; it wasn't
- 4 starting over; it wasn't starting from scratch. It
- 5 wanted to build on the previous regime. It wanted to
- 6 fix it and make it better and fill in gaps and fill in
- 7 loopholes and stitch all of the -- -
- 8 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: And providing
- 9 criminal penalties that weren't always there.
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: Well, the criminal
- 11 penalties --
- 12 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: That's a big change.
- 13 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: The criminal penalties --
- 14 the Federal felony criminal penalties were not there
- 15 before. Wetterling did have a misdemeanor penalty, and
- 16 a number of States did have penalties, but again the
- 17 criminal penalty is distinct from the registration
- 18 requirement, which is what we are actually looking at
- 19 and what we're interpreting.
- The registration requirement, violation of
- 21 which can result in criminal penalties in certain
- 22 circumstances; but again, Congress provided additional
- 23 protections for those circumstances. The registration
- 24 requirements themselves not only apply to sex offenders
- 25 and tell sex offenders what they are required to do, it

- 1 also tells States and other jurisdictions what they are
- 2 required to do if they want to -- implement.
- 3 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Your theory -- your
- 4 theory of what the Attorney General did here, as you put
- 5 in your -- I forget what, the regulations, or the -- was
- 6 confirm the applicability of SORNA, right?
- 7 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: Our --
- 8 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: That's the word you
- 9 used, I think, on page 12 of your brief.
- 10 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: We did. One of the
- 11 things he did was confirm. In the interim rule the
- 12 Attorney General in the preamble section read the
- 13 statute exactly as we read the statute.
- 14 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Right. What is the
- 15 other example -- did you have any other example where an
- 16 Attorney General confirms the applicability of a
- 17 criminal law?
- 18 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: I don't know if I would
- 19 say confirm. There are certainly are other examples
- 20 where the Attorney General has had authority and
- 21 exercised authority to define certain aspects of
- 22 criminal law, Touby is one example of such a case.
- 23 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: No, that's
- 24 different. I mean if you are talking about defining
- 25 which drugs are qualified, you know, under provisions

- 1 that criminalize possession, things like that. That's
- 2 is clarification going forward. I am talking about
- 3 straightforward confirming, is what you say happened
- 4 here.
- 5 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: Oh, well --
- 6 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: The law says this
- 7 and I -- I think it means -- I think it means what you
- 8 say it means.
- 9 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: I think there are a
- 10 number of examples where for example, agencies do little
- 11 more than restate what the statute says. I think the
- 12 Court doesn't give deference in those circumstances, but
- it certainly is within the scope of the general
- 14 authority of an agency or the Attorney General in this
- 15 case to reiterate the statute's requirement.
- 16 The Attorney General went -- went a step
- 17 further in the interim rule in that what the Attorney
- 18 General said in the preamble is I read the statute as
- 19 written; I think it applies facially to all sex
- 20 offenders regardless of the date of conviction but I
- 21 understand the defendants are making an argument to the
- 22 contrary, and in an abundance of caution to foreclose
- 23 that argument to the extent I need to do something under
- 24 subsection (d) I am doing it now; and I'm saying that
- 25 yes, it applies to all pre-enactment and

- 1 pre-implementation offenders.
- 2 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So I get back to my
- 3 question, which -- what's your best example of an
- 4 Attorney General doing something like that?
- 5 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: Confirming? I don't know
- 6 if I have one in a criminal context exactly, but I think
- 7 the point maybe that Your Honor's getting at; and you
- 8 can certainly correct me if I'm wrong; might be a point
- 9 that you made earlier. It certainly is somewhat unusual
- 10 delegation of authority to the Attorney General. If
- 11 Congress had wanted the Attorney General to decide
- 12 whether or not the registration requirements at the very
- 13 core of this statute had any operative effect going
- 14 forward, presumably it would have told the Attorney
- 15 General that he needed to do something.
- 16 That's something that Congress did in many
- 17 other provisions of SORNA where Congress said the
- 18 Attorney General shall do something. In fact, more than
- 19 a dozen provisions Congress used that language to direct
- 20 the Attorney General to take a certain action.
- 21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, here it says
- 22 shall. It says the Attorney General shall have the
- 23 authority to specify the applicability of the
- 24 requirements of this subsection.
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: But it says shall have

- 1 the authority. And I think there is a significant
- 2 difference between shall specify and shall have the
- 3 authority to specify. The latter is a passive
- 4 delegation of authority; it's a permissive delegation.
- 5 It suggests that the Congress did not think that the
- 6 Attorney General had to do something for the statute to
- 7 apply as written. It suggests that the statute applied
- 8 on day 1 to all pre-enactment and pre-implementation
- 9 offenders as all the other subsections that set forth
- 10 the registration requirements suggest, but if the
- 11 Attorney General in the future sees a need to specify
- 12 the applicability going forward, then he has the
- 13 authority to do that. Not that he --
- 14 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: But the question -- you
- 15 are starting from a proposition, counsel, it seems to
- 16 me, that Congress necessarily and under all
- 17 circumstances thought that it had to include pre-SORNA
- 18 convictions. But I don't know -- yes, it wanted a
- 19 uniform system, but it had State systems in place, it
- 20 had an imperfect Wetterling Act in place. It had lots
- 21 of other mechanisms in place to punish non-registrants.
- 22 So you are starting from the proposition
- 23 that by necessity they wanted to include preconviction
- 24 felonies. But I guess for those of us who believe in
- 25 legislative history, and I know many of my colleagues

- 1 don't believe in it or pay attention to it, there were
- 2 two bills passed on SORNA, one a House bill that made it
- 3 very clear, explicitly clear that it applied to
- 4 pre-SORNA conviction felons; and the Senate bill which
- 5 under the label Retroactivity had the terms that (d) now
- 6 has.
- 7 Doesn't that suggest to us that Congress
- 8 itself was unsure of whether it wanted to include the
- 9 pre-SORNA convictions or not?
- 10 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: I don't think so, and for
- 11 two reasons. First, to address the bills themselves, I
- don't think the Senate bill, just like I don't think
- 13 subsection (b) means that Congress meant to apply the
- 14 registration requirements to all pre-enactment offenders
- 15 in the registration provisions and then take away that
- 16 provision in the specify the applicability provision.
- 17 In the Senate bill that you are talking
- 18 about it defined a sex offender as anybody who has been
- 19 convicted of a sex offense and as this Court said in
- 20 Carr, that is the language that Congress guite often
- 21 uses when it intends to include pre-enactment conduct.
- 22 So I think the verb choice, both in the
- 23 Senate bill, in the House bill, and in the bill that was
- 24 actually enacted, indicates that it did intend to
- 25 include pre-enactment offenders. The other point I

- 1 would want to make is again, another point that was made
- 2 in Carr, which is that the registration requirements
- 3 stand at the very center of Congress's efforts to find
- 4 and to register the 100,000 missing sex offenders that
- 5 have fallen off the registry rolls under the previous
- 6 regime.
- 7 So I think it is quite clear with respect to
- 8 SORNA that Congress did want to include pre-enactment
- 9 offenders; it wanted to not only find those missing sex
- 10 offenders; it wanted to make sure that they got back on
- 11 the registry rolls. And as far as of the hundreds of
- 12 thousands of offenders that were already on the registry
- 13 rolls when SORNA was enacted, they wanted to make sure
- 14 that they stayed on the registry rolls, that they kept
- 15 the information current; they continued to update their
- 16 information going forward.
- 17 And again, with respect to pre-enactment
- 18 offenders that were in prison at the time that SORNA was
- 19 enacted, it wanted to make sure to get them on the
- 20 registry rolls before they left prison, before they --
- 21 JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR: I quess my problem is
- that you make an assumption, you continue to make an
- 23 assumption that if the Attorney General hadn't acted --
- 24 that the Attorney General was incapable of acting
- 25 quickly.

- I mean, if the Attorney General had within a
- 2 few months done what he ultimately did a year later or
- 3 whatever time period after, had come out and said, it
- 4 applies; this is what you do; briefly, you register
- 5 wherever you were convicted or -- et cetera, if you move
- 6 or change your name, then Congress would have
- 7 accomplished the goal it wanted.
- 8 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: If -- if the -- if
- 9 Congress had wanted the Attorney General to act and to
- 10 act quickly, presumably Congress would have told the
- 11 Attorney General that he had to do something. Again,
- 12 that's something Congress did in many other provisions
- 13 of SORNA.
- 14 JUSTICE GINSBURG: And why did -- the
- 15 Attorney General didn't try to act very quickly, and if
- 16 the Attorney General thought that SORNA applied from day
- 17 1, why is the Attorney General trying to go through
- 18 regulation that said nothing more than SORNA applies?
- 19 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: Because when the Attorney
- 20 General issued the interim rule, what he said was that
- 21 reading it on the face, I do think it applies to
- 22 everybody, but I recognize the defendants are making an
- 23 alternative argument and I think it's incredibly
- 24 important that it apply to everybody, and that it apply
- 25 to everyone quickly, because we are talking about

- 1 protecting our communities; we're talking about
- 2 protecting the public and protecting our children from
- 3 sex offenders, and having this uncertainty out there is
- 4 -- is not only not good for protecting the public, but
- 5 it's not good for sex offenders; it's not good for
- 6 jurisdictions that are trying to work towards
- 7 substantial implementation of SORNA.
- 8 And so I think you could look at it one of
- 9 two ways. If the idea is, well, Congress left it to the
- 10 Attorney General, but the Attorney General sort of acted
- 11 very quickly, I think that suggests that there probably
- 12 wasn't that much for the Attorney General to do in the
- 13 first place, and there is little reason that Congress
- 14 would not have made that decision on its own.
- To the extent you think there was a whole
- 16 bunch of things for the Attorney General to do, which
- 17 again we disagree with, presumably that is something
- 18 that would take some time. During the interim period
- 19 those 100,000 sex offenders would remain missing;
- 20 additional sex offenders would be added to that number
- 21 and the community and public would continue to be at
- 22 risk going forward.
- 23 If there --
- 24 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: What if -- what if
- 25 we think Congress left it to the Attorney General is

- 1 because they just didn't want to decide? Or some people
- 2 were saying, this is fine but not retroactive and others
- 3 were saying it should be retroactive. Do you see any
- 4 constitutional issues with Congress delegating that
- 5 authority to the Attorney General, the authority to make
- 6 the criminal statute applicable on a retroactive basis?
- 7 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: Of course, we don't think
- 8 that's what Congress did. So we -- we do think that the
- 9 notion that Congress would delegate such a fundamental
- 10 issue to the Attorney General in such subtle and opaque
- 11 terms that the Attorney General didn't think he needed
- 12 to do anything is quite significant when you look to see
- 13 what -- what Congress was intending.
- 14 JUSTICE SCALIA: It would strengthen your
- 15 case if you at least acknowledged that it would be
- 16 constitutionally doubtful. You wouldn't have to say
- 17 it's bad, but if you said it's doubtful, it might
- 18 strengthen your case, wouldn't it?
- 19 MS. ARBUS SHERRY: That is -- that might
- 20 strengthen our case here --
- 21 JUSTICE KAGAN: But it would also work
- 22 against your own interpretation, because your own
- 23 interpretation allows you to exempt anybody you want
- 24 from the statute; isn't that right?
- MS. ARBUS SHERRY: It does, but we do think

- 1 there's a different starting point. And the different
- 2 starting point is a fundamental difference, as Your
- 3 Honor noted. Our argument looks like a lot like
- 4 prosecutorial discretion, whereas the other starting
- 5 point is that Congress decided something and left it all
- 6 to the Attorney General.
- 7 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, Counsel.
- 8 Ms. Cain, you have three minutes remaining.
- 9 REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF CANDACE CAIN
- 10 ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER
- 11 MS. CAIN: I would like to address one point
- 12 the Government made, that there's no need to reregister
- 13 -- someone in Mr. Reynolds' position -- once they have
- 14 been registered under State law. They acknowledge the
- 15 opposite themselves in footnote 12, where they say
- 16 that -- "that a Government or a State will have been
- 17 deemed to substantially implement SORNA if it registers
- 18 pre-enactment and pre-implementation sex offenders who
- 19 remain in the system as registrants, as well as other
- 20 people." So it's clear that the Government
- 21 believes that -- acknowledges that people who are
- 22 already registered must reregister under SORNA.
- 23 The most important thing is that this -- the
- 24 SORNA statute -- the obligation under SORNA begins with
- 25 initial registration, and does not begin with a State

Τ	registration. And enactment, Congress knew that certain
2	people would be unable to register under subsection (b)
3	and that is why they enacted subsection (d).
4	We ask the Court to remand to the district
5	court, and to allow Mr. Reynolds to pursue his claim.
6	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, Counsel.
7	The case is submitted.
8	THE CLERK: The Honorable Court is now
9	adjourned until tomorrow at 10:00.
L 0	(Whereupon, at 12:05 p.m., the case in the
L1	above-entitled matter was submitted.)
L2	
L3	,
L 4	
L 5	
L6	
L 7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2.5	

-				
A	address 47:11	applicable 26:17	arguably 30:17	30:18,20,22
ability 7:12 12:1	52:11	51:6	argue 34:12	31:4,10 34:2,15
21:16 23:15	adjourned53:9	applied 4:2,20	arguing 7:6	35:21 43:4,12
31:4	administration	11:22 15:14	argument 1:12	43:16,20 44:14
able 39:19	29:24	24:20 33:21	2:2,5,8 3:3,6	44:16,17 45:4
above-entitled	admitting 5:8	34:24 37:3	11:7 13:17 14:1	45:10,11,14,18
1:11 53:11	adopted 13:9	40:23 46:7 47:3	17:13 23:20	45:20,22 46:6
absolutely 35:10	adoption 9:20	49:16	29:15 32:2,8	46:11 48:23,24
39:12	adversary 27:17	applies 10:12	34:16 44:21,23	49:1,9,11,15
abundance 44:22	affirmative	32:16 33:13,23	49:23 52:3,9	49:16,17,19
abuse 5:20 11:1	38:14 41:8	36:9 40:24	arises 41:24	50:10,10,12,16
11:15	AG 6:5	44:19,25 49:4	arm 40:21 41:1,5	50:25 51:5,10
abused 11:13	agencies 44:10	49:18,21	arrest 16:21	51:11 52:6
accept 8:19	agency 44:14	apply 3:15 4:14	arrested 16:12	August 9:2,5,8
accepted 10:4	ago 32:18,21	5:24 12:17	16:19	9:10,12,16
accomplished	33:14 37:25	13:23 14:12,13	aside 32:10	13:13
49:7	41:6	14:19 21:15	asked 34:10	authority 3:14,20
accords 5:5,22	agree 27:17	24:1 25:20 26:1	asking 7:14 8:19	18:10,11,18
acknowledge	agrees 15:16	26:14 27:8 29:3	32:12	25:4,18,19
52:14	ALITO 9:19	32:19 33:1	aspects 43:21	26:11 30:5 31:1
acknowledged	25:13 26:12,19	35:21 37:2	Assistant 1:15	31:9 43:20,21
51:15	allegedly 13:11	42:24 46:7	1:18	44:14 45:10,23
acknowledges	allow31:3 53:5	47:13 49:24,24	assume 10:4	46:1,3,4,13
52:21	allows 51:23	applying 32:15	assumes 18:22	51:5,5
act 4:4,8,9,14,17	Alright 37:21	36:3	assuming 22:9	authorized 10:15
4:22,25 5:4,10	alternative 49:23	appropriate	22:10,11	32:3
	amending 5:15	26:13	assumption	automatic 17:12
5:12,14 15:24	amendments	ARBUS 1:18 2:6	48:22,23	aware 6:20
23:25 24:5	24:4	23:20,22 24:11	attention 47:1	a.m 1:13 3:2
26:17 27:2 40:2	amount 22:1,6	25:24 26:18,21	Attorney 3:14,20	4.111 1.13 3.2
46:20 49:9,10	41:11	27:19,24 28:7	3:24 5:9 6:1,8	В
49:15	answer 5:8 10:3	28:10,13 30:2	6:10,14,15,18	b 14:7 20:9,9
acted 7:19 25:25	21:4,9 23:5	30:10,16,25	6:21,23 7:9,12	28:9 29:3,3
27:23 48:23	24:18 39:7	31:8,17,23 32:7	7:19 8:2,4,6,13	34:21 35:12,13
50:10	answering 23:24	32:20,23,25	8:20,25 9:24	35:17 36:5,8,12
acting 31:10		33:6 34:4,17	10:13,14 13:2	36:16,23 37:2,3
48:24	anybody 47:18 51:23	35:6,10,24	13:22 14:21	37:7 38:23
action 3:24 10:13		, ,	15:4 16:12,16	47:13 53:2
45:20	anyway 7:18	37:19 38:6	17:22 20:3 21:5	back 4:15 10:3
acts 5:10,20	APPEARANC	39:12,23 40:4,8 40:17 41:21		16:22 32:11
14:21	1:14		21:12,13 22:24	33:12 34:5
added5:4,15	appendix 41:18	42:10,13 43:7	23:3,12 24:5	37:11,23 45:2
50:20	applicability	43:10,18 44:5,9	25:9,11,17,25	48:10
addition 22:22	29:23 43:6,16	45:5,25 47:10	26:5,8,11,15	bad 51:17
additional 41:7	45:23 46:12	49:8,19 51:7,19	26:20 27:13,23	baseline 30:7
42:22 50:20	47:16	51:25	29:18,22 30:5	vascinic 50.7
	1	1	·	1

				5
1 10.16	01010057	42.21.42.21	47.2.2.40.7	10.12.14.16
basis 10:16	c 2:1 3:1 29:5,7	42:21 43:21	47:3,3 48:7	18:13,14,16
11:14 51:6	29:20 34:23	45:20 53:1	52:20	19:6,9,13,20
begins 52:24	35:2,12,13,18	certainly 20:21	CLERK 53:8	19:21 20:24,25
behalf 1:16,20	37:11,22	31:10,19 34:2	client 7:16 9:18	28:9 29:4,20
2:4,7,10 3:7	Cain 1:15 2:3,9	43:19 44:13	9:24 10:14,20	34:20,22 37:4,6
23:21 52:10	3:5,6,8 4:9,16	45:8,9	11:2,5,10,16	37:7 38:13,22
behavior 6:9	4:21 5:1,12,21	cetera 49:5	13:23 14:4,19	complying 37:9
believe 46:24	6:20 7:11,21	challenge 10:7,9	20:8 21:25	38:20
47:1	8:22 9:9,14,18	10:17 12:8,11	23:14	conceivably 10:9
believes 52:21	9:22 10:11,18	12:16,20,23	close 8:11	concern 39:14
best 45:3	10:21,25 11:3,8	13:7,7 23:15	closer8:17	concerned 17:8
better 5:5,22	11:11,17,22	challenging 12:7	cloth 16:7	conduct 7:7,8
42:6	12:5,13,17,24	12:10 13:1	colleagues 46:25	24:1 47:21
big 42:12	13:4,14,19,24	change 42:12	come 41:11 49:3	confirm 31:5,20
bill 47:2,4,12,17	14:4,6,14,16	49:6	comes 35:20	43:6,11,19
47:23,23,23	14:25 15:3,8,16	changes 18:7	40:2	confirming 44:3
bills 47:2,11	15:21 16:21	37:14	commanded	45:5
BILLY 1:3	17:7,14 18:1,14	Chief 3:3,8 4:7	11:10	confirms 43:16
books 19:5	18:21 19:3,9,16	4:12,19,23 12:3	comment 9:1,4	confuse 37:17,18
brackets 13:11	19:19 20:6,13	13:16,20,25	12:4,8 13:6,18	confusion 38:1
break 27:24	21:3 22:4,9,13	23:18,22 29:14	13:21	Congress 3:13
BREYER 14:11	22:20,23 23:9	30:8,13 31:21	comments 13:22	4:4 6:12 14:18
14:15,17 15:2	25:6 52:8,9,11	39:6 42:8,12	committed 4:24	15:9,10,13,20
25:2 27:15	called 5:3	43:3,8,14,23	5:10 19:14	17:3,5,23 20:18
32:10,22,24	CANDACE 1:15	44:6 45:2,21	25:22 33:9,13	24:14 25:4,9
33:2,7 34:8	2:3,9 3:6 52:9	50:24 52:7 53:6	37:24 40:13	26:7,10,12,22
35:2 37:10,21	capabilities 18:5	children 50:2	common 40:18	27:12 29:9 30:6
39:21,24 40:6	Carr 19:25 47:20	choice 47:22	communities	30:7,18 31:11
40:11	48:2	circular 11:6	50:1	38:12,15 41:13
Breyer's 39:7	case 3:4 7:5 9:4,6	circumstances	community 37:6	42:22 45:11,16
brief 15:17 16:9	9:9,11,17 12:20	20:10 42:22,23	39:16,18 50:21	45:17,19 46:5
28:22 43:9	12:20 18:9	44:12 46:17	complete 26:6	46:16 47:7,13
briefly 49:4	22:10 31:13,18	cite 24:8,12	completely 16:11	47:20 48:8 49:6
bring 3:25 11:13	31:25 34:3	civil 6:22 7:20	compliance 18:9	49:9,10,12 50:9
16:22 17:16	43:22 44:15	claim 9:8 11:15	19:18 22:15	50:13,25 51:4,8
broad 31:2	51:15,18,20	12:4 53:5	41:12	51:9,13 52:5
brought 6:25	53:7,10	claims 8:12	compliant 16:25	53:1
build 42:5	categories 33:16	clarification 44:2	28:20	congressional
bunch 50:16	33:19	clarify 5:7	complicated	5:6,23
burden 25:5	cause 21:18	clarity 37:25	15:10,13 24:18	Congress's 48:3
business 40:10	caution 44:22	class 7:9,16	complication	consideration
40:11,15	center 48:3	24:23	16:16	4:3 16:7
b)is 39:17	certain 3:10	classes 27:25	complications	constitutional
10/10 0/.1/	16:10 17:16	clear 27:10 33:21	15:17,20 21:19	51:4
<u> </u>	30:11 33:13	33:22,22 34:24	comply 3:12 14:6	constitutionally
	50.11 55.15	33.22,22 34.24	Compty 5.12 14.0	Constitutionally

51:16	23:23 40:20	21:15 24:20,24	despite 27:10,10	efforts 48:3
contain 19:11	44:12 47:19	28:2,4 36:5	details 6:16	either 10:7 14:9
contest 7:12	53:4,5,8	37:15 39:20	30:14	eliminated 32:5
10:12 11:19	covered 4:6 6:5	46:8 49:16	determination	enacted 3:19
contesting 9:7	7:8,16,17,18	days 35:3 40:10	16:18 26:19	4:22 5:13,15
23:13	29:13	40:11,15	determine 3:15	14:8 18:23 19:1
context 16:8	create 20:1	deal 34:3	determines	19:2,15 24:14
21:19 45:6	created 28:17	decade 29:1	25:20	25:15,16 28:4
continue 48:22	creation 28:18	decide 6:5 26:1	determining 4:1	28:16 29:9 36:6
50:21	crime 7:2,3,3,24	45:11 51:1	difference 46:2	42:3 47:24
continued 48:15	8:3,4,5,7,8,12	decided 11:4	52:2	48:13,19 53:3
contrary 28:20	8:13,15,19,20	16:12 52:5	different 6:15	enactment 3:11
44:22	19:15 33:9,14	deciding 6:23 7:2	15:11,11,25	4:15 9:20 12:9
control 26:6	34:9,9 37:25	16:17	16:8 18:3 26:9	16:5 25:22
convicted 3:10	40:13,22	decision 16:16	29:17 36:1	26:17 36:15
3:18 5:19 6:4	criminal 3:23 5:2	50:14	43:24 52:1,1	39:10 53:1
7:3 16:3,23	5:4,9,14,15	deemed 9:23	direct 45:19	enforcement 5:9
24:3,7,15,15	6:10,13,17,24	16:14,25 52:17	disagree 50:17	ensure 4:5
25:14,21 27:6	7:6,7,15,19	default 30:7	discretion 8:14	entail 18:9
32:13,18,20	10:1 25:22 34:6	defendant 36:20	8:18 10:21 11:2	especially 8:5
34:8,9,12,19	41:7 42:9,10,13	defendants	11:4,11,14 52:4	21:19 36:5
34:22 36:14	42:14,17,21	44:21 49:22	distinct 42:17	ESQ 1:15,18 2:3
37:12 38:3	43:17,22 45:6	Defender 1:15	distinction 34:18	2:6,9
40:24 47:19	51:6	defense 38:15	district 53:4	essentially 25:8
49:5	criminalize 14:22	39:1	doing 6:22 17:5	30:4
conviction 7:6,7	44:1	deference 44:12	44:24 45:4	et 49:5
7:15 17:9 22:3	criminally 32:13	defers 41:8	domestic 40:23	everybody 28:1
22:18 40:22	38:25 39:3	define 43:21	40:24,25	37:17 40:24
41:1 44:20 47:4	current 20:22	defined 24:2 27:9	doubtful 51:16	49:22,24
convictions	30:1 35:17,19	47:18	51:17	exact 25:6 42:1
17:18 46:18	36:23 38:10	defines 27:5	dozen45:19	exactly 15:12
47:9	48:15	28:20,22	drugs 43:25	43:13 45:6
convinced 13:22		defining 43:24	D.C 1:8,20	example 16:6,8
core 7:5 45:13	D	definitely 31:24		16:15 24:13
correct 17:6,13	d 3:1,21 11:4	definition 36:7	E	29:12 37:11
22:3 32:22,24	21:14 25:8,20	delegate 51:9	E 2:1 3:1,1	39:25 40:19
45:8	26:11 27:12	delegated 26:10	earlier 45:9	41:13 43:15,15
counsel 23:18	29:18 30:3 31:1	delegating 3:14	earliest 13:9	43:22 44:10
46:15 52:7 53:6	34:5 35:20 38:4	51:4	easier 17:22	45:3
counselor 10:3	44:24 47:5 53:3	delegation 31:1	easily 36:9,16	examples 6:12
couple 30:12	date 4:15 12:9,10	45:10 46:4,4	edge 8:11	30:12 43:19
course 25:12	20:1,2 22:16	delegations 31:9	effect 6:2 21:17	44:10
33:2,3 41:3	44:20	denied 23:15	21:17 45:13	exclude 10:14
51:7	day 6:1 10:12	Department 1:19	effective 4:17	11:5
court 1:1,12 3:9	11:22 17:4	descriptions 14:9	20:1,2 21:11,12	excuse 10:2
				1110400 10.2

		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
25:19	felonies 46:24	6:18,21 7:9,19	40:12 49:17	21:3 22:20
executive 6:11	felons 47:4	8:2,4,6,13,20	goal 20:18 49:7	23:25 52:3
exempt 25:5	felony 42:14	8:25 10:13,14	goes 38:9	Honorable 53:8
30:23 31:6	fill 42:6,6	13:22 14:21	going 4:13 6:14	Honor's 45:7
51:23	final 9:3 10:8	16:12,16 17:22	15:18 16:7	House 47:2,23
exercise 3:20	22:15,22	20:3 21:5,12,13	24:25 25:10	hundreds 48:11
10:21 30:19	find 8:1 48:3,9	22:24 23:4,12	26:1 28:3,14	hypothetical
exercised 11:3	fine 51:2	24:5 25:9,11,17	30:6,6,19 37:17	38:21,22
43:21	fire 40:21 41:1,5	25:25 26:5,8,11	38:24 39:3 44:2	
exercising 25:18	firm 17:1	26:16,20,22	45:13 46:12	I
25:19,19	first 8:25 13:2	27:14,23 29:18	48:16 50:22	idea 38:21,23
exist 14:2	36:21 39:11	29:22,25 30:5	good 32:6 50:4,5	50:9
existence 29:1	47:11 50:13	30:18,20,22	50:5	identifies 36:19
existing 24:24,25	fit 20:9 36:16	31:4,10 34:2,15	government 5:23	illegal 10:5
expect 17:24	fix 42:6	35:21 43:4,12	7:17 12:1 15:16	Imagine 32:17
expired 33:12	flexible 18:2,6	43:16,20 44:13	15:19 21:15	immediately
explicitly 47:3	footnote 52:15	44:14,16,18	52:12,16,20	26:17 33:20
exposed 7:3	foreclose 44:22	45:4,10,11,15	government's	immunize 37:8
extent 39:1	forever41:2	45:18,20,22	16:9	impact 11:20
44:23 50:15	forget 43:5	46:6,11 48:23	grant 17:1	imperfect 46:20
	forms 41:16	48:24 49:1,9,11	grasp7:5	implement 6:16
F	forth 46:9	49:15,16,17,20	group 6:6 21:21	21:8 23:2 43:2
face 27:8 31:1	forward 4:13	50:10,10,12,16	28:14	52:17
36:8 49:21	25:1 30:6 44:2	50:25 51:5,10	guess 32:2 40:15	implementation
facially 44:19	45:14 46:12	51:11 52:6	46:24 48:21	3:11 16:5 25:1
fact 6:2 7:15	48:16 50:22	General's 3:20	guidelines 9:21	25:12 50:7
30:19 33:22	four 37:12 38:4	6:23 7:12 9:25	9:23 21:7 22:25	implemented
35:13 36:12	39:8	10:4 13:2 15:4	23:1 24:3	16:14 21:2
45:18	fulfill 37:22	21:24	guilty 7:2	36:15
fail 7:1,23	full 26:6	getting 36:24		implementing
failing 34:20,22	fundamental	45:7	H	4:1
failure 5:17 7:22	51:9 52:2	GINSBURG 6:7	happen 17:24	important 26:3
14:23 38:16,18	further 14:2	8:24 9:11,15	25:13	34:17 41:22
fairly 40:20	23:17 44:17	12:6,14,19 13:1	happened 44:3	49:24 52:23
fallen 48:5	furtherance	13:5 18:8,16,25	happens 9:12	impossibility
far 33:5,13 38:8	31:11	19:4,13,17	heading 29:15	38:14 39:1 41:9
48:11	future 4:18 6:2	20:22 23:5	hear 3:3	inability 37:6
FCC 32:3,4	21:18,22 46:11	41:19 49:14	helpful 35:8	incapable 48:24
February 9:5,12		give 30:4,12 40:9	helps 35:25	include 12:1
9:16 12:11 13:3	G	40:19 44:12	history 46:25	24:15 26:22
13:12	g 3:1 40:21	given 25:4 40:8	Honor 4:9,16	46:17,23 47:8
federal 1:15 5:16	gaps 42:6	41:4,11	5:12 6:20 7:11	47:21,25 48:8
15:23 16:9	general 1:19	go 9:3 10:2 19:21	7:21 8:22 9:9	included3:13
24:12 40:2,13	3:14,24 5:9 6:1	32:11 33:12	9:22 10:11 12:5	5:18
41:20 42:14	6:8,11,14,16	34:5 37:11	13:14 18:21	incredibly 24:23
		J 1 .J J1.11		

	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
49:23	interpreting	22:8,11,14,21	29:24 31:11,17	limit 9:13
indicated 25:3	42:19	23:5,18,22	31:25 33:18	limited 31:10
indicates 47:24	interrelationship	24:10,17 25:2,3	35:8 38:19,19	36:24
indictment 6:25	36:18	25:13 26:12,19	39:2 41:24	lines 36:12 40:9
individual 32:17	invalid 12:21	27:15,16,21	43:18,25 45:5	linked35:14
40:25	13:2,5,10,12	28:5,8,11 29:14	46:18,25	little 30:14 44:10
individuals 5:19	invalidity 12:11	30:8,13,21 31:3	knowing 38:18	50:13
16:13	involve 9:10	31:13,20,21	knowledge 41:9	long 33:14 37:25
ineffective 9:1	involved 9:6	32:3,10,11,22	knows 41:10	longer 16:4
information	involving 31:13	32:24 33:2,7		look 21:14 24:22
36:23 38:10	issue 11:24	34:8 35:2,4,8	L	26:25 27:7 50:8
48:15,16	29:18,22 51:10	35:11 37:10,21	label 47:5	51:12
initial 3:12 14:7	issued 13:18	39:6,7,21,24	lack 27:11	looked 40:20
14:10,20 15:5	21:5,7 22:24	40:6,11 41:19	language 27:10	looking 34:7
17:19 19:10,14	23:1,12 24:3	42:8,12 43:3,8	32:10 45:19	42:18
20:11,13 34:14	49:20	43:14,23 44:6	47:20	looks 52:3
34:21 37:13	issues 51:4	45:2,21 46:14	large 24:23	loopholes 42:7
52:25		48:21 49:14	law4:4,6 5:3	lot 8:17 21:18
initially 3:22 20:8	J	50:24 51:14,21	18:14,15 19:19	52:3
20:10,16 21:11	jail 33:4 38:3	52:7 53:6	19:20,20,22,24	lots 46:20
23:10 28:16	JOE 1:3		33:17 41:20,22	Lychner 5:4,14
33:11 35:18	joint 41:18	<u>K</u>	41:24 43:17,22	
37:23 38:1	judgment 8:15	Kagan 15:8,18	44:6,52:14	<u> </u>
39:22	jumped 13:21	17:21 20:5	laws 15:22 18:4	maintained
instance 6:18	jurisdiction	24:17 25:3	39:11	28:23
36:21	28:24 36:19	30:21 31:3,20	lawyer34:10	making 16:17
instructing 21:6	38:2	35:11 51:21	40:16	44:21 49:22
intake 36:24	jurisdictions 23:1	Kagan's 17:3	leave 6:14 8:2,5	man 20:7
integrally 35:14	36:21 43:1 50:6	keep 30:1 35:17	26:15	matter1:11
intend 47:24	Justice 1:19 3:3	35:18 36:22	leaving 19:5,21	26:23 31:1
intended 14:18	3:8 4:7,12,19	38:9,10	32:10	53:11
20:18	4:23 5:7,18 6:7	KENNEDY 7:4	led 39:10	MCI 31:24
intending 51:13	7:4,14,25 8:17	7:14	left 4:4 6:10,11	mean 7:22 8:5
intends 47:21	8:24 9:11,15,19	kept 48:14	10:7 22:17 26:7	13:14 14:11,12
intent 5:6,23	10:2,16,19,23	kind 9:8 34:3	48:20 50:9,25	20:23 22:6
interim 7:10 9:25	11:1,6,9,12,20	38:5	52:5	23:10 30:21
10:8,12 11:19	12:3,6,14,19	knew41:14,16	legal 34:13,14	31:6,14,25
11:21,23,25	13:1,5,16,20	41:23 53:1	legislation 18:3	43:24 49:1
12:7,12,15	13:25 14:1,5,11	know4:12 5:21	legislative 46:25	meaning 31:14
13:18 22:16,22	14:15,17 15:2,8	6:12 7:25 8:7,9	let's 10:4 21:23	means 14:12
43:11 44:17	15:18 16:19	8:16 14:22,23	33:12	21:17 29:17
49:20 50:18	17:2,2,11,21	15:21 16:5 18:4	liability 10:1	44:7,7,8 47:13
interpretation	18:8,16,25 19:4	19:25 21:4,8,20	liable 38:25 39:3	meant 29:24
51:22,23	19:13,17 20:5	22:10,23 26:22	light 26:6	47:13
interpreted 24:5	20:22 21:23	26:25 27:16,22	lights 26:8	mechanisms
	l	<u> </u>	l	l

46:21	37:14 49:6	offenders 3:10	3:6 23:20	37:3 51:1 52:20
meet 14:9	necessarily	3:16,17,25 4:3	order 6:24 7:9	52:21 53:2
MELISSA 1:18	30:19 32:9	4:5 5:25 6:3	12:22 16:25	period 9:5,10,17
2:6 23:20	46:16	15:21 16:2 21:6	24:15 38:16	9:19 49:3 50:18
mention 40:13	necessity 46:23	22:25 24:6,16	original 19:7	periods 15:24
40:14	need 25:10 29:11	24:21,24,25	outset 36:2	39:15
mentioned 21:19	44:23 46:11	26:24,24 27:8	overhappened	permissive 46:4
metaphysical	52:12	28:3,14,23 29:2	15:12	permutation 16:6
14:17	needed 3:24	29:10,17 30:10		permutations
Michigan 33:17	30:11 45:15	30:24 31:7	P	16:1 18:4
39:25,25	51:11	34:24 35:15,20	P 3:1	person 4:24 6:25
minutes 52:8	needs 36:20,22	35:22 36:4,10	page 2:2 28:22	16:23 25:16,22
misdemeanor	38:9	36:14,14,25	43:9	33:8,12,16,18
16:12,20,21,23	never 16:2 40:1	37:7 38:7,12,22	pages 41:18	36:6 37:12 38:2
21:20 40:22	new3:25 17:9,14	39:15,17 42:24	parallel 8:10	39:8,25
42:15	17:15,16 20:7	42:25 44:20	Pardon 10:18	persons 7:16
missed 12:24	20:17,20 25:16	45:1 46:9 47:14	parole 20:25	Pete's 8:6
20:7	non-registrants	47:25 48:4,9,10	23:8	Petitioner 1:4,17
missing 48:4,9	46:21	48:12,18 50:3,5	part 12:25 21:20	2:4,10 3:7
50:19	normal 22:5	50:19,20 52:18	22:5 39:6	52:10
Missouri 18:10	noted 52:3	offense 4:23 6:4	particular 41:13	Petitioner's 26:4
18:11,11,17,19	notice 9:1,3 12:3	6:13,17 24:3	particularly	26:5 27:9
19:5,12,19,20	12:4,8 13:6,17	25:14,23 29:12	16:17 34:1	Pittsburgh 1:16
19:20 22:2 29:6	13:21	32:23 40:25	passage 17:5	place 4:5,10
41:15,17,19,22	notion 39:17 51:9	47:19	passed 22:1,16	14:20 39:11
Missouri's 18:14	number 26:9	offenses 25:21	41:2 47:2	46:19,20,21
modify 6:1 21:16	28:2 36:9 38:7	40:19	passive 46:3	50:13
31:5,14 32:1,4	38:14 42:16	office 15:4	patchwork 20:19	please 3:9 23:23
Monday 1:9	44:10 50:20	officer 21:1 23:8	pay 47:1	34:3
money 17:1		Oh 23:9 40:6	penalties 3:24	plenary 31:2
months 24:25	0	44:5	5:2,4,14 42:9	point 5:2 13:9
49:2	O 2:1 3:1	Okay 12:14	42:11,13,14,16	17:16 21:10,22
move 49:5	obligated 7:23	13:25 15:2 19:4	42:21	26:3 33:15,20
moved 22:19	10:20	22:13 28:7,10	penalty 5:16,16	34:24 36:11
29:6 33:18	obligation 3:21	old 4:6	42:15,17	38:11 41:22
41:15	18:19 20:1	older 17:8,9,17	pending 26:19	45:7,8 47:25
moves 20:12	33:10 34:13,14	17:18	Pennsylvania	48:1 52:1,2,5
25:16	52:24	once 52:13	1:16 19:21,22	52:11
moving 18:12,18	October 1:9	one-year 5:16	29:6 41:15	pointed 29:4
19:1,7 21:1	offender 16:22	opaque 51:10	people 7:9 9:7	points 38:6
22:3 23:8	24:2 27:2,4,5	operative 45:13	14:19 15:14	position 6:9 20:8
N	28:21,22 35:16	opposed 32:1	16:10 17:9,17	21:25 34:6
	36:7,22,25	opposite 52:15	20:3 22:5 25:5	52:13
N 2:1,1 3:1	38:19 41:10,25	options 5:9	25:21 28:6,8,12	possess 40:21
name 31:24	42:1 47:18	oral 1:11 2:2,5	28:13 32:15	possession 41:1
	I	I	I	<u> </u>

44:1	16:5 28:4 33:9	public 1:15 50:2	11:15 21:8	registering 6:9
possible 20:21	33:15 36:6	50:4,21	24:19 27:12	33:11
post 24:10 32:4,5	37:15,18 39:9	punish46:21	36:19,23	registers 52:17
postenactment	39:13 48:18,20	punishment 5:16	reason 17:11	registrants 52:19
35:15,20 36:4	probably 40:9	purpose 31:11	24:19 30:23	registration 3:12
post-enactment	50:11	36:24	39:13,14 50:13	3:15 4:4 5:13
24:7	problem 8:1 15:3	purposes 20:3	reasonable 5:24	6:22 14:7,10,20
post-implemen	17:17 32:14	41:23	22:1,6,17 40:5	15:5,6,24 17:15
24:8,11	48:21	pursue 53:5	40:6,7 41:5,11	17:19 18:22
power6:8	problems 15:11	put 43:4	reasons 26:9	19:10,14,23,23
preamble 43:12	17:25 25:11	p.m 53:10	47:11	20:11,13,14,16
44:18	proceed 25:1		REBUTTAL 2:8	20:17,20,21
precise 38:23	process 17:24	Q	52:9	22:7 29:16
preconviction	36:24	qualification	recognize 49:22	30:11,15 33:11
46:23	prohibited 7:8	27:9,11	Recognizing	34:14,21 35:17
present 39:9	proposition	qualified 43:25	3:10	35:19 37:13
presumably	46:15,22	question 10:3	refer 35:19	38:9,19 39:11
31:15 39:9	prosecuted	14:19 15:19	referring 35:14	39:14 40:1
45:14 49:10	34:20,22 41:4	17:3 18:22 21:4	regardless 44:20	41:12,16,23
50:17	prosecution 12:2	21:9 23:6,24	regime 42:5 48:6	42:17,20,23
pretty 28:1	15:4	24:17 32:15	register 3:22,22	45:12 46:10
prevailing 38:17	prosecutor 8:7,8	34:10,11 37:24	4:14,24 5:1,17	47:14,15 48:2
previous 16:22	8:9	39:7 45:3 46:14	6:6,24 7:1,22	52:25 53:1
42:5 48:5	prosecutorial	questions 23:17	7:23,24 10:6	registrational
pre-enactment	8:14,18 52:4	quickly 18:6	14:24,25 16:2,4	39:2
4:2 5:25 17:9	prospectively	48:25 49:10,15	16:9,13 17:4	registrations
24:1,16,20,22	26:2	49:25 50:11	18:10 20:9,10	15:12 17:18
26:23,23 28:2	protecting 50:1,2	quite 6:15 31:2	20:16 21:11,21	registries 28:25
30:24 31:6	50:2,4	36:9,9,16 40:18	22:5 23:3,10,11	37:9 41:25 42:2
35:22 36:7,9,13	protections	47:20 48:7	24:6,12 25:17	registry 28:17,19
44:25 46:8	38:12 41:8	51:12	27:13,17,18,20	28:20,21,23,23
47:14,21,25	42:23	R	27:22 29:20	29:11 39:19
48:8,17 52:18	provided38:12	$\frac{\mathbf{R}}{\mathbf{R}}$ 3:1	30:1 33:10,17	48:5,11,12,14
pre-existed 42:1	38:14 42:22		33:23 34:13	48:20
pre-implement	provides 41:7	rates 32:4,5 reach 4:15 13:7	35:16,18 36:20	regs 7:10
5:25 24:21	providing 42:8	reached 26:3	36:22 37:15,23	regulation 17:22
26:24 28:3	provision 4:11		38:1,4,16,18	18:2 20:3,23
36:13 45:1 46:8	7:20 14:7 34:6	read 31:18 35:13	39:8,22 40:3,4	21:2 24:13 26:2
52:18	35:16 41:7	36:2,3,8 38:4	41:10 48:4 49:4	49:18
pre-implement	47:16,16	43:12,13 44:18	53:2	regulations 20:7
24:23	provisions 27:1,3	reading 5:5,22	registered 18:11	20:19,23 21:5
pre-SORNA	27:7,11 29:5,25	5:24 8:23 21:15	19:5 28:15,16	22:24 29:22
46:17 47:4,9	36:1 43:25	37:11,16,19,22	29:10 33:4 34:7	43:5
prior 5:10	45:17,19 47:15	49:21	34:25 38:8	regulatory 17:24
prison 3:19 14:8	49:12	really 7:1 8:22	52:14,22	reiterate 44:15
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				6.
20.0.40	26 10 40 10	12.05.02.10	44 < 11 45 31	00 10 20 2 10
release 30:9,10	36:18 40:18	13:25 23:18	44:6,11 45:21	28:13 30:2,10
37:5 39:13	41:6 48:7,17	29:14 30:8,13	45:22,25	30:16,22,25
released 3:18	respectfully	31:21 39:6 42:8	SCALIA 7:25	31:8,17,23 32:7
14:8 16:4 33:15	18:23	42:12 43:3,8,14	8:17 14:1,5	32:20,23,25
39:15,18,20	respond 18:6	43:23 44:6 45:2	24:10 31:13	33:6 34:4,17
remain 50:19	Respondent 1:20	45:21 50:24	32:3 35:4,8	35:6,10,12,24
52:19	2:7	52:7 53:6	51:14	37:19 38:6
remaining 4:10	response 34:11	rolls 39:19 48:5	scope 44:13	39:12,23 40:4,8
52:8	rest 31:22 40:8	48:11,13,14,20	scratch 42:4	40:17 41:21
remand 53:4	restate 44:11	rule 7:13 9:3,3	second 28:13	42:10,13 43:7
remember 31:24	result 26:3 42:21	9:15,16,25,25	section 3:13 31:5	43:10,18 44:5,9
repeal 6:2 21:17	resulted 7:15	10:5,8,13 11:19	32:12,14 43:12	45:5,25 47:10
31:15	retroactive 4:8	11:23,25,25	sections 36:3	49:8,19 51:7,19
require 4:3	24:1 51:2,3,6	12:7,10,11,12	37:17	51:25
required 6:6 27:2	retroactively	12:15,20,22	see 51:3,12	shortly 25:15
29:8 38:16,24	26:14	13:2,3,8,9,10	seeking 7:12	side 8:12
41:10,14 42:25	Retroactivity	13:12,13,18,23	sees 46:11	signed 41:16
43:2	47:5	14:2 23:12,13	Senate 47:4,12	significant 46:1
requirement	retrospectively	43:11 44:17	47:17,23	51:12
3:13 4:13 5:13	26:2	49:20	sentenced 3:18	similar 32:8
6:23 18:17	Reynolds 1:3 3:4	rules 10:8 11:21	32:18	simply 5:24 8:12
21:10 29:20,25	3:17,21,25 12:1	20:19 22:15,16	sentences 34:1	9:4 17:23 18:9
30:15 31:5 32:4	12:18 17:20	22:22 29:19	served 16:3,10	20:25 21:6 23:7
32:5 38:19,20	20:4 28:15 29:2	34:15	serves 36:23	26:7,8 29:3
39:2,16 41:12	29:6 34:18,19	<u> </u>	set 30:6,7 37:7	32:4 37:2
41:24 42:18,20	34:24 37:1 38:7	S 2:1 3:1	46:9	single 36:6
44:15	41:12,14 52:13	safety 25:8 30:4	sex 5:19 15:21	situation 6:3
requirements	53:5	30:8	16:1 24:2,3,24	15:15 20:25
3:16 15:25	Reynold's 15:14	sailing 8:10	24:25 25:14	25:14
17:16 19:10,11	re-register 16:24	sake 8:6	27:1,4,5,8	six 27:3,7
29:15,16,17,23	rid 41:5	sanctions 38:17	28:21,22,23	small 37:7
30:6,12 34:21	right 9:14,21	saying 5:11 7:13	29:10,12,16	SMART 9:20,22
34:23 37:8	12:4,12,13 13:4	11:24 13:10	30:10 32:23	Solicitor 1:18
38:13 40:9	13:14,19,24	14:21 17:4	35:16 38:22	10:4 21:24
42:24 45:12,24	14:3,5,14,15	23:14 27:12	40:1 41:25 42:1	solve 17:25
46:10 47:14	15:1,2 17:14	29:19 30:3	42:24,25 44:19	somebody 20:24
48:2	18:13 19:2,3	31:23 37:11	47:18,19 48:4,9	24:2 27:5 29:7
requires 29:5,7	22:8 26:9 30:15	39:24 44:24	50:3,5,19,20	37:24 41:15
41:9	34:19 35:25	51:2,3	52:18	somewhat 45:9
requiring 24:6	36:4 39:12 43:6	says 6:12 7:17	share 31:21	SORNA 3:11,19
reregister 52:12	43:14 51:24	8:4,20,23 15:7	Sherry 1:18 2:6	3:22,23 4:1,2
52:22	risk 50:22	21:2,14 27:14	23:19,20,22	5:23,24 6:2,5
reserve 23:16	ROBERTS 3:3	29:21 35:2,3,16	24:11 25:7,24	6:22 7:24 9:20
respect 16:15	4:7,12,19,23	35:17,18,21	26:18,21 27:19	10:7,20 12:17
29:9 30:5 32:8	12:3 13:16,20	33.17,10,21	27:24 28:7,10	14:2,8,12,25
	1	1	1	1

				62
15:6 16:6,15	standing 11:18	stops 37:14	supposed 14:23	22:25 23:1
17:1 18:16,23	11:19,24 23:14	straightforward	27:22 33:23	41:17
18:23 19:1,1,4	start 10:7 17:15	44:3	40:3,12,14	tells 43:1
19:6,11,15,23	23:24 24:21	strange 8:1,2,21	Supreme 1:1,12	term 32:19
19:25 20:14,15	27:3 34:4	strengthen 51:14	sure 26:13 48:10	terms 47:5 51:11
20:20 21:8,11	starting 42:4,4	51:18,20	48:13,19	text 5:5,22 8:23
21:16,25 22:15	46:15,22 52:1,2	student 37:14	suspend 30:11	15:7,9
23:2,3,11 24:2	52:4	subchapter	31:15	Thank 23:18
24:14 25:15,20	state 15:5,22	29:23	switch 26:6	33:7 52:7 53:6
26:14 27:18,20	16:14,24 18:12	subject 3:23 9:25	switched 24:14	theoretical 30:25
28:4,15,18,18	18:15,18,20,22	38:17	system 3:25	theory 31:8 43:3
28:20 29:8,11	19:6,7,8,19,23	submitted 53:7	16:11,22 17:10	43:4
29:13 30:24	20:14 21:1 22:2	53:11	17:15,18 28:17	thing 23:6 31:16
36:6,15 41:22	22:6,18 24:8	subsection 3:21	34:25 36:25	36:1 38:5,15
42:1,2,3 43:6	25:16 28:17	11:4 25:7,20	37:1,4 46:19	40:1 52:23
45:17 47:2 48:8	33:17 46:19	26:11 27:12	52:19	things 29:21
48:13,18 49:13	52:14,16,25	28:21 29:18	systems 46:19	34:11 43:11
49:16,18 50:7	states 1:1,6,12	34:5,21,23 35:2		44:1 50:16
52:17,22,24,24	3:4 15:22 16:2	36:5,8,12,16	T	think 4:19 8:10
SORNA's 3:12	21:7 23:21 24:6	36:19,23 37:6	T 2:1,1	15:8,25 18:1,3
3:15,23 12:9	24:12 25:1 29:1	44:24 45:24	take 10:20,22	25:24 26:3,9,25
21:17 36:15	42:16 43:1	47:13 53:2,3	11:2,10 14:20	30:2,16,16,17
sorry 4:21 12:24	state's 18:4,5	subsections	37:21 39:24	30:25 31:9,24
23:9 35:6	statue 21:15	36:17 46:9	40:10 45:20	32:9 35:24 36:4
sort 4:10 8:14	status 40:19	subsequent 24:4	47:15 50:18	36:18 43:9 44:7
11:13 16:8	statute 7:8,17	subset 29:10	takes 18:2	44:7,9,11,19
30:14 38:5	10:11 11:8,22	substantial 50:7	talk 28:14,19	45:6 46:1,5
50:10	14:18 15:14	substantially	36:12	47:10,12,12,22
Sotomayor 5:7	17:23 21:13	16:14,25 21:8	talking 9:4,21	48:7 49:21,23
5:18 10:2,16,19	25:15 28:19	23:2 52:17	18:25 27:25	50:8,11,15,25
10:23 11:1,6,9	32:14,19,25	substituting 15:5	28:1,5,11 30:13	51:7,8,11,25
11:12,20 16:19	40:18,23 41:2,3	subtle 51:10	31:18,25 32:12	thinks 8:8,9
17:2,11 21:23	41:20 43:13,13	suffice 18:22	34:18 35:9	thought 7:5 17:2
22:8,11,14,21	44:11,18 45:13	sufficient 23:7	38:11 43:24	25:9 30:18 34:8
27:16,21 28:5,8	46:6,7 51:6,24	23:10	44:2 47:17	34:9,15 46:17
28:11 32:11	52:24	suggest 46:10	49:25 50:1	49:16
46:14 48:21	statutes 40:20	47:7	talks 36:13	thousands 48:12
speak 27:1	statute's 20:1,2	suggesting 35:11	technical 6:16 tell 10:24 18:17	threat 39:10
specifies 23:4	44:15	suggests 46:5,7		three 34:1 35:19
specify 32:1,9	stayed 48:14	50:11	18:19 19:7,20	40:10,11,15
35:23 45:23	step 44:16	suit 11:13	20:5 22:2 23:7 29:7 34:2 35:5	52:8
46:2,3,11 47:16	stick 20:11	summary 35:7	41:14 42:25	time 4:3 8:25
specifying 29:22	stitch 42:7	sunset 4:11	telling 18:10,11	9:10 16:3,10
spoken 8:25	stop 17:5	suppose 25:25	20:25 21:7	18:3 22:1,6,17
stand 48:3	stopped 17:3	32:7	20.23 21.7	23:16 25:25
	l	I	I	1

				0.
33:11 36:7	21:24 26:16	51:1,23	wrong 7:10 45:8	1996 4:22 5:11
37:25 39:15	44:21	wanted 17:14		5:14,20 40:23
40:5,6,7 41:5	understanding	26:13,22 30:23	X	41:3
41:11 48:18	10:5 26:21	31:6 42:5,5	x 1:2,7	
49:3 50:18	uniform 46:19	45:11 46:18,23		2
timing 34:23 37:5	unique 40:18	47:8 48:9,10,13	<u>Y</u>	2 5:3
37:8 38:13,23	United 1:1,6,12	48:19 49:7,9	year 3:19 4:17	20 41:2
39:16	3:4 23:21	wants 34:12	4:20 14:8 32:18	2006 42:3
today 22:15	unlawful 40:21	Washington 1:8	32:20 37:12	2007 9:24 10:8
told 22:18 45:14	unsure 47:8	1:19	38:3 49:2	23:13
49:10	unusual 45:9	wasn't 22:9 25:8	years 4:5,10 5:3	2008 9:10,23
tomorrow37:15	update 20:12,20	26:12 29:13	24:25 33:5,14	10:9
53:9	48:15	42:3,4 50:12	37:13 38:4 39:8	2011 1:9
Touby 43:22	updated20:14	way 15:9,11 25:6	41:2,6	2250 14:12,22
track 39:19	38:10	26:10 27:3	0	32:13 33:5,20
travel 6:25 7:22	use 25:10	35:21		33:23 34:5,6,10
7:22 10:6 22:2	uses 47:21	ways 50:9	07 9:12,16,18 12:11 13:3,12	34:13 38:12,25
traveled9:18,24	U.S.C 29:16	weeds 30:14	08 9:13,17 13:13	39:4 41:7
22:17 35:1		well-suited 16:17	20:23	2250(b) 38:15
treat 37:24 38:2	V	went 44:16,16	20:23	23 2:7
trigger39:13	v 1:5 3:4	weren't 42:9	1	
trouble 8:16	valid 3:19 9:23	Wetterling 4:4,8	1 6:1 10:12 11:22	3
true 31:19	13:13 20:2	4:9,14,17,21	24:20,24 28:2	3 1:9 2:4 4:5,10
try 40:19 49:15	23:12,13	4:25 5:3,10,12	46:8 49:17	4
trying 11:18,18	valve 25:8 30:4,8	5:15 15:24	10 33:14	4 33:5
38:5 41:13	varied 15:23	23:25 24:4,5	10 33:14 10A 28:22	42 29:15
49:17 50:6	various 15:22	42:15 46:20	10-6549 1:4 3:4	42 29:15
turn 26:8	verb 47:22	We'll 3:3	10:00 53:9	5
two 37:16 38:6	view25:7 26:4,5	we're 6:13 7:13	10.00 33.9 100,000 48:4	5-year 32:18
47:2,11 50:9	27:10 30:3	9:21 11:6,18,18	50:19	50 29:1
type 16:15 24:13	32:25 39:21	11:24 23:13	11:06 1:13 3:2	52 2:10
39:10	violated 33:5	34:18 37:23	12 43:9 52:15	52 2.10
	violating 32:13	38:11 42:19	12 43.9 32.13 12(a) 35:7	6
U	violation 33:10	50:11	12(a) 53.7 12:05 53:10	61 24:12
ultimately 49:2	33:19 41:3	willing 26:15	15 33:14	
um 15:25	42:20	wonder 33:25	15 33.14 15112 24:12	9
umbrella 35:15	violence 40:23	word 32:1 43:8	16 41:18	9 28:21 40:21
unable 3:12 14:6	40:24,25	words 37:10	16911 28:21	90 35:3
38:13 53:2	virtue 27:12	38:18	16911 28:21 16913 29:16	922 40:21
uncertainty 50:3		work 50:6 51:21	16913 (d) 3:13	
unconstitution	W	worried 15:10,20	1933(u) 3.13 19 20:23	
8:11	walk 35:25	wouldn't 20:24	19 20:23 1907 9:5	
underlying 30:15	want 11:15 31:21	51:16,18		
understand	35:4 39:18,19	written 15:9	1908 9:2,5,8	
12:21 13:17	43:2 48:1,8	44:19 46:7	1990 41:1	
		11 ,1 <i>7</i> 1 U./	1994 4:24 5:2,13	